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An inescapable commitment


# I am honoured to be able to present the first report - about the gender gap in health - of the ClosinGap cluster. Women for a Healthy Economy, a business platform formed in September 2018 with the participation of Merck, MAPFRE, Vodafone, Repsol, Meliá Hotels International, Mahou San Miguel and Solán de Cabras, L'Oréal and BMW Group, and a common endeavour: to calculate the economic impact of the different gender gaps in Spain. 

We want to set down in numbers a reality that exists and that is on the public agenda, that has a clear social aspect but also important economic consequences that we are going to quantify, investigate, analyse and contribute to eliminate. This is precisely the element that makes our initiative unique. The approach to inequality from a different perspective: the numbers that give greater strength to the social reality that underlies each gender gap.

What is the opportunity cost of wasting female talent? What measurable and quantifiable consequences does inequality between women and men have in areas such as health, work-life balance, digitalisation, pensions, mobility, tourism, free time or consumption?

The companies that form ClosinGap today, and those that will join us, acquire a triple commitment. First, the generation of data and its analysis. Second, the joint development of recommendations to help close the gender gaps. Finally, the implementation in our organisations of concrete measures aimed at eliminating any gender inequality and guaranteeing the better use of women's talent.

This first report analyses the gender gap in health and it is lead by Merck, a science and technology company with a presence in 66 countries that I have the honour to chair in

Spain. The impulse of science and research are in the DNA of our company, with one main objective: to help create, improve and prolong people's lives; whether from the development of innovative drugs, laboratory and research technologies or everyday materials such as the liquid crystals of our mobile phones. And always with a commitment to sustainability.

Allow me to offer only one of the conclusions of this report on the health gap: women live longer, but with worse health than men. If these inequalities are eliminated and women reach old age with better health, the potential savings for the whole society would be almost 9 billion euros a year, equivalent to $0.8 \%$ of Spanish GDP.

Now I leave you thanking the confidence and commitment of the companies that have joined this challenging project. I am convinced that the only way to change the world and build a healthier economy is to take advantage of the talent of all people; men and women. And this is why we must eliminate gender gaps. The future depends on it.

## ClosinGap. Women for a healthy economy



In 2014, Merck launched globally the Healthy Women, Healthy Economies program in collaboration with the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation Forum in order to eliminate the obstacles that are preventative when trying to take advantage of the full potential of women. Shortly afterwards, this initiative was considered by the United Na tions (UN) as a key program to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG).

Now, four years later, Merck has decided to promote the creation in Spain of ClosinGap. Women for a healthy economy. The objective of this cluster, presented publicly on September 7,2018 , is to analyse the opportunity cost to the economy of the persistence of inequalities in areas such as health, work-life balance, pensions, the use of free time, consumption, tourism, mobility or digitalisation.

These are some of the gaps that stiII persist between men and women, despite Spain being among the 25 best-placed countries (24) of the 144 countries studied in the Global Gender Gap Report of the World Economic Forum, which estimates that the reduction of its gender gap is close to $75 \%$ so far.

This report, published in November 2017, places Spain in the average for Western Europe and in a better situation than the global average (68\%).

In addition, according to the latest Eurobarometer on Gender Equality ${ }^{2}$, Spaniards - after Swedes and Finns - are the Europeans who most declare their support and commitment to actively contribute to the gender equality in the economy, in companies, in the promotion of a fair and democratic society or in the personal sphere.

Different official studies periodically reveal some of the most significant consequences of these inequalities: pensions up to $40 \%$ lower in the case of women, greater availability of free time for men or work leave for the care of children or relatives in situations of dependency almost exclusively requested by women, among others.

The purpose of ClosinGap is to start from these social consequences and go a step further by studying the effects that these gender gaps have in the economy and in terms of loss of female talent. In other words, how much Spain stops growing because it does not take advantage of the full potential of women.

The report A way forward for Spain, published by McKin-
sey $^{3}$ in 2017, quantified that, "in the case of there not having been an entry of women into the labour market such as in the 1990s, the Spanish GDP of 2015 would have been $18 \%$ lower".

Taking into account this reality, the question is what is the specific loss of potential and talent for Spain for each of the gender gaps.

With the objective of finding answers to this question, the companies that form this cluster will promote the publication of periodic reports designed to analyse the main gender gaps from this point of view. To date, the following fields of study are already planned ${ }^{4}$.

| Company that leads the analysis | Opportunity cost analysed |
| :---: | :---: |
| Merck | Gap in health |
| Repsol | Gap in work-life balance |
| MAPFRE | Gap in pensions |
| Mahou San Miguel and Solán de Cabras | Gap in leisure |
| L'Oréal | Gap in consumption |
| BMW Group | Gap in mobility |
| Meliá Hotels International | Gap in tourism |
| Vodafone | Digital gap |

This is the first report of this series of monographic studies. Its objective is to analyse some of the main causes and effects of inequality between women and men in the field of health and to generate the necessary debate in our society in order to accelerate the closing of the global gender gap that, according to the World Economic Forum, could take us around 170 years at the current rate ${ }^{5}$.

With this aim, the report has started from two key concepts:
The gender gap in health, defined as the set of existing inequalities in the state of physical, psychological and spiritual welfare and by gender that, therefore, can be avoided.

The opportunity cost is the economic value of the alternative rejected when deciding on a specific action or expense. This value equals the benefits that would have been obtained from having chosen the best possible alternative. There is always an opportunity cost because the available resources are limited (whether it is money or
time) since it is precisely this circumstance that forces us to choose among the possible options.


1 The Global Gender Gap Report, 2017.
2 Special Eurobarometer 465, 2017.
3 A way forward for Spain, 2017.
4 The analysis of the economic impact of the different gaps has required a careful allocation of dimensions to avoid overlaps or coincidences, and has adopted a conservative approach in such a way that they can be interpreted as a minimum threshold.
5 The Global Gender Gap Report 2016 (World Economic Forum).

Health, a determining factor in human welfare


## n Spain, 74\% of the population values their health positively.

6 National Health Survey, Spanish National Institute of Statitics (ENS, INE, 2017).
7 Health Barometer (Spanish Ministry of Health, Consumption and Health Barometer
Social Welfare, 2018)
8 Available at https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2018-09-19/u-s-near-bottom-of-health-index-hong-kong-and-singapore-at-top 9 For this work, an in-depth analysis of key indicators of the Spanish Health System has been carried out (INCLASNS, MSCBS), the Primary Care Clinical Database - BDCAP (MSCBS, 2015), the Minimum Basic Data Set - Ambulatory Specialised Attention (CMBDAAE) (MSCBS, 2015), the Minimum Basic Data Set - Hospitalisation (CMBD-H) (MSCBS, 2015) and the Death Statistics according to the cause of death (INE, 2016).
10 Spanish National Health Survey (ENS, INE, 2017).
11 Minimum Basic Data Set (MBDS) of Specialised Ambulatory Care (CMBD-AAE) and Hospitalisation (CMBD-H) and Database of Primary Care Clinics (BDCAP, MSCBS).
12 Spanish National Health Survey.
13 The analysis carried out to identify the gender gaps in the clinical pathologies registered in the different levels of health care (primary hospital) starts from an overview of the total of identified medical diagnoses, from which (i) those related with the genital tract - both men $(M)$ and women (W), including breasts, are eliminated; (ii) the frequency of diagnosis for each sex is calculated over the total number of people/diagnoses for each sex; (iii) the ratio between both frequencies is calculated from both the male $(H / M)$ and the female ( $M / H$ ) perspective; and (iv) over these ratios those whose incidence is higher than 2 times that observed for the opposite sex are identified and the diagnoses with frequency <1\% for each sex over the total of the corresponding sex are eliminated.

In Spain 74\% of the population values their health positively, according to the National Health Survey (ENS) prepared by the Spanish National Institute of Statistics (INE) and published in 20186. This survey shows an improvement in perception in the last decade for both men and women, as well as for all age groups, except for those aged 75 and over.

The ENS also shows "the increase on the prevalence of chronic diseases, cardiovascular and metabolic risk factors, and musculoskeletal diseases", and on "the population with limitations and functional disability".

This increase in chronicity is a consequence of the growth of life expectancy, which also implies a greater use of the public health system. Citizens value it with 6.68 points out of 10 in the Health Barometer of the Spanish Ministry of Health, Consumption and Social Welfare ${ }^{7}$.

A National Health System that has, according to 2016 data, 175,118 medical professionals (of which $47.7 \%$ are men and $52.3 \%$ women) and 186,033 nursing professionals (of which $85.9 \%$ are women and only $14.1 \% \mathrm{men}$ ).

In Primary Care (PC), the distribution of medical professionals by gender shows a less balanced pattern ( $41.8 \%$ men and $58.2 \%$ women) than in Specialised Care (SC) ( $49.2 \%$ men and $50.8 \%$ women). This imbalance is higher for nursing professionals: while $78.7 \%$ of PC nursing personnel are women, it rises to $87.2 \%$ in SC.

The work of these professionals and the operation of the Spanish healthcare system is highly valued at a global level, being the third country after Hong Kong and Singapore in the latest ranking developed by Bloomberg $^{8}$ that analyses medical costs, quality of care, life expectancy and living standards. Likewise, The Lancet places Spanish healthcare among the top 20 of its Healthcare Access and Quality Index, with 92 points out of 100.

However, the morbidity and mortality statistics ${ }^{9}$ and the National Health Survey ${ }^{10}$ show a limited number of gender gaps in health. Thus, the survey shows that "men value their health favourably ( $78 \%$ ) more frequently than women (70\%)" and that "the gap widens as going down the social scale".

In order to carry out the analysis that is the subject of this report, we have tried to monitor the life cycle of people (men and women) in relation to their health and their relationship with health services based on a diagnosis that it is built upon the official statistics from the Spanish Ministry of Health, Consumption and the Social Welfare and the Spanish National Institute of Statistics, mainly.

The analysis is limited to the registry of indicators that report on the health of people and the possible existing gender inequalities, both those that come from the supply of health services ${ }^{11}$ and the demand (people) ${ }^{12}$, beginning with primary care (identification of health problems), followed by hospital care (diagnoses and clinical procedures) to conclude with the differences in morbidity and mortality between men and women ${ }^{13}$.


## Causes of inequalities between women and men in health

Health patterns are determined by biological (natural) and social (standard) causes that determine the different types of risks throughout life, from earliest childhood to mature age, through adolescence, youth, adulthood (fertile periods in the case of women) and old age.

Figura 1. Ciclo de vida



## Biological factors

Biological factors mean some people are genetically more predisposed to certain health problems and diseases. They respond to unique biological differences between women and men such as maternity, prevalent illnesses and longevity.

## Social factors

Social factors determine the vulnerability of people based on gender, age, income, educational level, employment status and residence, among other demographic, geographic and socio-economic attributes. The main factors in this group are, according to the literature ${ }^{14}$, informal or non-professional care (usually fami-
ly: children, elderly, dependent, sick people); access and use of health services; unconscious biases of gender in health; lifestyle and risk behaviours; stereotypes; and main work sectors or activities (occupation).


[^0]Both types of factor affect the health of women throughout their lives, especially during fertility and at the end of it ${ }^{15}$, as well as during old age when, in addition, they are alone ${ }^{16}$. The risks to health are therefore not neutral with respect to gender, nor are their effects, which can be avoided, therefore it is necessary to identify them.


Figure 2. Causes of gender gaps in health


Effects of the gender gap in health
The state of health has economic and welfare effects.
The deterioration of one's health reduces the ability to generate income, produces direct, indirect and intangible costs, affects the demographic and economic health of the country, the quality of human capital and the productivity and limits the growth potential of individuals and that of the economy as a whole.

When there is a difference in the levels of health between men and women, we face a gender gap.

Figure 3. Characterisation of the effects of gender inequality in health in terms of GDP and social welfare Source: Afi

| In the personal / family sphere | For the economy | In public budgets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income generation capacity | Demographic health | Efficiency and effectiveness in the <br> allocation of public resources |
| Costs incurred | Human capital | - |
| Welfare | Growth potential |  |

$1672 \%$ of single-person households over 65 years old are women; $82 \%$ of people over 65 years living alone in a widowhood situation are women (INE).

Consequently, the calculation of the opportunity cost in economic terms is estimated from the different effects that the gender gap in health causes in the economy and in human welfare and of society as a whole, through its impact on the potential to generate income, on the quality of human capital and on the allocation of resources, amongst other factors.

These are the main effects of identified causes by groups ${ }^{17}$ :

## Opportunity cost

Personal and family sphere
Economy and society
Public budgets

Inequalities in the prevalence of diseases and life habits

## Health problems, pathologies and main causes of death

Effects on morbidity and mortality in the short, medium and long term.

Tobacco, alcohol, sedentary lifestyle, obesity, risk practices that produce accidents

Access to and use of primary health care and hospital care services

Physical, social and psychological consequences on the affected person, her family and the immediate environment.

Mental health impairment / eating disorders (ED)

| The reduction in <br> the fertility rate <br> has effects on the <br> demographic and <br> economic health of <br> the country | Demographic health $\rightarrow$ Growth of the <br> population and working-age population <br> $\rightarrow$ Growth of potential GDP $\rightarrow$ <br> Dependency rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

The role of the caregiver from a gender perspective

Deterioration of physical and mental health. Waiver of income by occupation

Dedication that would generate potential jobs for professional caregivers

Temporary incapacity of informal caregivers due to depression, anxiety

Employment and its consequences in health

Sectors of occupation
Exposure and accumulation of exposure to agents Work accidents

Duration of recovery from
occupational diseases

Unconscious gender biases in health

Accuracy in diagnostics and procedures

Women live four years longer but with worse health

Better health care throughout life saves on the cost of care in old age.

Proyección cualitativa
Estimación cuantitativa

[^1]

The opportunity cost of the gender gap in health


## 2.1 <br> Inequalities in the prevalence of diseases and life habits

To get to know the state of health and to identify the differences in prevalent illneses, we start from the analysis of the statistics of diagnoses in primary care (BDCAP) and hospital care (specialised CMBD-AAE and hospitalisations CMBD-H), that allow, in addition, to focus on the different age groups -young (0-24 years), young adults (25-44), seniors (45-64) and elderly ( 65 years and over) - and, thus, accompany the analysis throughout the life cycle ${ }^{18}$.

## Primary Care (PC)

Men and women of all ages share the same health problems (HP) ${ }^{19}$ when using Primary Care services, although:

- The most frequent health problems for men are related to general problems, respiratory and digestive system, skin, hair and nails ${ }^{20}$.
- For women, they are genital and urinary tract, nervous system and family planning, pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium.

The bigger difference is, logically, in the group of family planning, pregnancy, delivery and puerperium. In women the diagnoses of this group are 7.9 times higher than in men, followed by the genital tract ( 1.6 times), the urinary tract ( 1.5 times) and the nervous system ( 1.3 times), all with a higher ratio in the case of women. The rest of ratios are around 1 , indicating that there is not much difference between men and women.

Figure 4. PC. HP. Effects of the gender gap in health
Source: Afi, based on the Data Base of Primary Care Clinics (BDCAP, MSCBS), 2015

A: General and non-specific problems
R: Respiratory system
S: Skin, hair and nails
L: Locomotor system
D: Digestive system
T: Endocrine system, metabolism and nutrition

K: Circulatory system
P: Psychological problems
F: Eyes and connected areas
H: Hearing system
XY: Genital tract
N: Nervous system
U: Urinary tract
B: Blood, hematopoietic organs and immune system (Lymphatics, spleen and bone marrow)

Z: Social problems
W: Family planning, pregnancy, delivery and puerperium


18 A general analysis is made for each sex and a particular one having eliminated the genital tract and breast in which the reference unit is Health Problem/diagnosis in the different levels of health care over the total number of people with certain Health Problem/diagnosis. Thus, the frequency of HP/diagnoses according to population group and sex is calculated, by finding the ratio between both frequencies from the male ( $M$ $/ W$ ) and female (W/M) perspective. Once these ratios are identified, those whose incidence is higher than 2 times for the opposite sex (obvious differential behaviours) are marked and those diagnoses with frequency <1\% (sufficient dimension) are removed.

19 In Primary Care, health problems (HP) are identified and recorded from the Database of Primary Care Clinics (BDCAP, MSCBS) 2015 with which the analysis has been carried out. The methodological description of the BCAP establishes that the information focuses on the user and the health problems (HP) or related events over time, as well as on the way they are managed.

20 Hairs, nails, sweat and sebaceous glands.0\% 10\%

Figure 5 PC. HP. Does not include genital tract and breast
Source: Afi, from the Database of Primary Care Clinics (BDCAP), 2015


Ratio men / women


Eliminating the genital tract and breast it is observed that men present more frequently HP related to cardiovascular system (acute myocardial infarction, cardiac ischemia without angina), respiratory (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease-COPD), endocrine system, metabolism and nutrition (gout), digestive system (inguinal hernia) and psychological problems (drug abuse, chronic alcohol abuse) (Figure 6).


Figure 6 PC. HP. Men. All ages
Source: Afi, based on the Data Base of Primary Care Clinics (BDCAP), 2015


Women present more frequently HP related to musculoskeletal system (osteoporosis, knee osteoarthritis, other osteoarthritis, acquired deformities of the limbs), endocrine system, metabolism and nutrition (hypo and hyperthyroidism, goiter, other endocrine / metabolic / nutritional problems, deficit vitamin / nutritional), nervous system (carpal tunnel syndrome, migraine), blood (iron-deficiency anaemia, other non-specific anaemias), urinary system (cystitis, urinary incontinence), cardiovascular circulatory system (varicose veins), skin (hair loss) and psychological problems (depression). See Figure 7.

The results shown in Table 1 are extracted from the analysis.

Figure 7. PC. HP. Women. All ages
Source: Afi, based on the Data Base of Primary Care Clinics (BDCAP), 2015


Table 1. Differential HP between men and women. PC. By age ranges
Source: Afi from the Database of Primary Care Clinics (BDCAP), 2015

| Age | Women | Men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

$0-24$ years
Cystitis / other urinary tract infections; other endocrine / metabolic / nutritional problems; dysuria / painful urination; iron-deficiency anaemia; hypothyroidism / myxoedema / hair loss.

25-44 years

45-64 years

Asthenia / fatigue / general weakness; Iron-deficiency anaemia; Other anaemias / non-specific; Cholecystitis / cholelithiasis; Constipation; Vertigo syndrome; Varicose veins in lower extremities; Migraine; Carpal tunnel syndrome; Vertigo / light-headedness; Depression / depressive disorders; Voice signs / symptoms; Goiter; Hyperthyroidism / thyrotoxicosis; Hypothyroidism / myxoedema; Vitamin / nutritional deficit; Other endocrine / metabolic / nutritional problems; Cystitis / other urinary tract infections; Urinary incontinence; Other contraception / family planning methods; Infertility.

Asthenia / fatigue / general weakness; Irondeficiency anaemia; Other anaemias / nonspecific; Constipation; Vertigo syndrome; Varicose veins in lower extremities; Palpitations / perception of heartbeats; Acquired deformities of the spine; Other osteoarthritis; Osteoporosis; Deformities acquired from members; Signs / symptoms of the hip; Unspecified joint signs / symptoms; Migraine; Carpal tunnel syndrome; Somatization / conversion disorders; Depression / depressive disorders; Acute laryngitis / tracheitis; Signs / symptoms of the voice; hair fall; Goiter; Hyperthyroidism / thyrotoxicosis;

Hypothyroidism / myxoedema; Vitamin / nutritional deficit; Cystitis / other urinary tract infections; Urinary incontinence; Other methods of contraception / family planning.

Unspecified liver diseases; Pilonidal cyst / fistula; Sterilisation; Drug abuse; Inguinal hernia.

Viral hepatitis; Inguinal hernia; Cardiac ischemia with angina; Acute myocardial infarction; Cardiac ischemia without angina; Atrial fibrillation / atrial flutter; Other heart diseases; Absence / loss of sexual satisfaction; Sexual dysfunction / concern not included in PO7 to P09; Chronic alcohol abuse; Drug abuse; Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD); Goute.

Inguinal hernia; Acute myocardial infarction; Cardiac ischemia without angina; Atherosclerosis; Peripheral arterial disease; Absence / loss of sexual satisfaction; Sexual dysfunction / concern not included in PO7 to P09; Chronic alcohol abuse; Tobacco abuse; Chronic bronchitis; Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD); Gout; Malignant neoplasms of the urinary bladder; Urgent / frequent urination; Haematuria

## Hospital Care (HC)

In Hospital Care, clinical care information is identified and recorded for patients treated in areas of hospitalisation without admission ${ }^{21}$ and home hospitalisation (Specialised Ambulatory Care -SAC-), as well as all the admissions produced in acute care hospitals (HC) of the Spanish National Health System ${ }^{22}$.

## Specialised Ambulatory Care (SAC)

In general, the distribution of diagnoses by clinical service in SAC (Figure 8) is similar between men and women for all ages. However, it is more frequent in men, urology and general and digestive surgery and in women, obstetrics and gynaecology, traumatology and orthopaedic surgery and pain and rheumatology unit groups.

Figure 8. Specialised Ambulatory Care (SAC). Diagnostics. All ages
Source: Afi, from CMBD-AAE (MSCBS, 2015)
A. Ophthalmology
B. Another medical day hospital
C. Traumatology and Orthopaedics
D. Medical Oncology
E. Cancer day hospital
F. Obstetrics and Gynaecology
G. General and Digestive Surgery
H. Dermatology
I. Psychiatric day hospital
J. Digestive
K. Pain Unit
L. Radiation Therapy Oncology
M. Internal Medicine
N. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery
O. Clinical Haematology
P. Otolaryngology
Q. Rest of Services
R. Maxillofacial Surgery
S. Nephrology
T. Stroke-Angiology and Vascular Surgery
U. Anaesthesia and Resuscitation
V. Day Hospital
W. Urology

X. Cardiology
Y. Other groups

21 Among those are included ambulatory surgery, diagnostic-therapeutic procedures of high complexity, day hospital, emergencies and outpatient consultations.
22 To carry out this analysis, the Minimum Basic Data Set (MBDS) of Specialised Ambulatory Care (CMBD-AAE) and Hospitalisation (CMBD-H) of 2015 have been used.

If diagnoses related to the genital tract and the breasts are eliminated (Figure 12), women most frequently present diagnoses related to thyroid disorders, mood disorders and osteoarthritis.

Figure 12. H. Diagnostics. Women. All ages
Source: Afi from CMBD-H (MSCBS, 2015)


Figure 13. H. Diagnostics. Men. All ages
Source: Afi from CMBD-H (MSCBS, 2015)



Table 2. Differential diagnoses between men and women. HC. By age ranges
Source: Afi from CMBD-H (MSCBS, 2015)

| Age | Women | Men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-24 years | Immunizations and screening of infectious diseases; Deficiency anaemias and others; Urinary tract infections. | Articular disorders and dislocations related to trauma; Upper limb fracture. |
| 25-44 years | Immunisations and screening of infectious diseases; Thyroid disorders; Deficiency anaemias and others; Asthma; Urinary tract infections; Contraceptive and procreative treatment; Allergic reactions. | Articular disorders and dislocations related to trauma; Upper limb fracture; Lower limb fracture; Schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders; Disorders related to alcohol; Disorders related to psychotropic substances; E codes: Not specified; Codes E: Place of the event. |
| 45-64 years | Thyroid disorders; Allergic reactions; Mood disorders. | Coronary atherosclerosis and other heart diseases; Disorders related to alcohol. |
| > 65 years | Thyroid disorders; Osteoarthritis; Osteoporosis; Mood disorders; other urinary tract infections; Urinary incontinence. | Coronary atherosclerosis and other heart diseases; Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and bronchiectasis; Screening codes, history of mental health and abuse of psychotropic substances. |



## Mortality

[^2]As for mortality, according to INE Statistics, women die more frequently than men in situations of senile and presenile mental disorder, Alzheimer and from hypertensive diseases, while for men, the main differential causes of death are tumours (bladder, respiratory system, liver), chronic diseases of the lower respiratory tract, self-inflicted injuries and cirrhosis.

However, women die in Spain from cardiovascular diseases (CVD) in a greater proportion than men. The reasons will be analysed later. In 2016,

64,471 women (32\% of all deaths) and 55,307 men ( $26 \%$ ) died from this cause. On the other hand, cerebrovascular diseases ${ }^{24}$ were the main cause of death in women in 2016 (15,566 deaths, $8 \%$ ), while in men were malignant tumours of the trachea, bronchi and lung (17,624 deaths, $8 \%$ ).

So far, the prevalence of diseases have been analysed according to gender, age and type of care. Now, the prevalence of diseases will be addressed from the perspective of habits and lifestyle.

First, the increase in the prevalence of obesity in the last 30 years, a period in which "it has multiplied by 2.4 ", according to the ENS, reflects that it is more frequent in men than in women. The same happens for overweight, with up to 14 points of difference and also
more common in men.
This same study states that "in Spain, $69 \%$ of women and $58 \%$ of men consume fresh fruit daily and $46 \%$ of women and $35 \%$ of men, salads or vegetables"; and it shows that the proportion of time that people remain seated in their activity is similar ( $38 \%$ ) although when it comes to distributing their free time, men's physical activity is greater.

Likewise, 1 in every 2 adult men declares drinking alcohol compared to $25 \%$
of women. There is also a higher proportion of male smokers, although there is a tendency to equalise (Figure 14) after registering a difference of only 7 .

Figure 14. Habits (\% population)
Source: Afi from ENS


Consumption of alcohol


Sedentary leisure time
$\overline{\text { Men }} \overline{\text { Women }}$ 40\%


It is worth considering what the consequences are of these lifestyle on health.

The analysis reveals the incidence of illneses associated with lifestyle and risk behaviours developed during life, although it is more evident in the case of men who, with the aforementioned higher levels of smoking, show greater prevalence of illneses related to the respiratory system, including cancer.

If the smoking trend among women continues, it is possible that in the near future there will be more female smokers than male smokers among the adult population and, along with it, a greater presence of respiratory system cancers among the female population. It must be underlined that smoking causes other additional health problems in women, such as the early menopause, osteoporosis and interferences in fertility ${ }^{25}$.

As for sedentary lifestyle and obesi-
ty, its effects on health are known and can be seen in many of the prevalent illneses in adult and older women, associated with the locomotor system. It is important to note that women enjoy one hour less leisure than men, as it will be analysed in the monographic report on the related gender gap.

Finally, regarding the incidence of risk practises that can lead to accidents (Figure 15), it is observed that those suffered at home ("home accidents") have a higher prevalence among women, twice compared to men ( $4 \%$ of women report having suffered one versus $2 \%$ of men). This figure reflects the consequences of the inexistance of shared responsabilities in the family sphere, with the woman still being responsible for most of the housework.

In fact, the Time Use Survey elaborated by the INE in 2009-2019, revealed that the average daily time spent in family care and housework amounted
to 4 hours and 29 minutes in the case of women compared to 2 hours and 32 minutes in the case of men. This aspect will be analysed in the work-life balance gap.

On the contrary, accidents suffered in leisure time and those related to mobility (that happen outside the home) have a higher prevalence among men than among women -the possible causes of the latter will be analysed in the corresponding mobility gap-, although the difference is not as relevant as in those that happen "at home".

Figure 15. Accidents (\% population)
Source: Afi from the Spanish National Health Survey (ENS)



25 Elisardo Becoña and Fernando L. Vázquez (2000) "Women and tobacco: characteristics linked to gender", Rrv Esp Salud Pública 2000; 74: 13-23 available at http://www.redalyc.org/articulo. oa?id=17000103

Social gender stereotypes and consequences in health

In order to analyse the prevalence of diseases according to gender and the existing gender gap, it is necessary to analyse the consequences of social gender social stereotypes in health.

The Special Eurobarometer on Gender Inequality (No. 465, June 2017) shows that two out of every three Spaniards consider that there is a problem about how women are portrayed
in media and advertising - one of the countries, after Sweden and France, most concerned about this fact - and $51 \%$ consider that this problem should be addressed.

Among the effects on health related to this problem are eating disorders (ED), such as anorexia and bulimia. These diseases affect women more (ratio 7: 1), although not exclusively: of the total number of people diagnosed in PC in 2015, close to 36,000 (Figure 16), $87.6 \%$ were women, compared to
$12.4 \%$ of men.
Most cases are concentrated in ages between 15 and 44 years, although women suffer from them in all age ranges since menarche.

Figure 16. HP diagnosed as anorexia nervosa / bulimia in PC
$\overline{\text { Men }} \overline{\text { Women }}$
Source: Afi from Primary Care Clinical Database (BDCAP) 2015


Access to the health system
To conclude this first descriptive chapter of the differences between women and men in the prevalence of diseases and lifestyle in today's society, the differences by gender when accessing the services related to the Spanish National Health System (SNS) will be analysed.

As a starting point, it is necessary to note that maternity determines the relationship of women with health services as well as their state of health throughout their lives ${ }^{26}$. In fact, one in five (19\%) cases ${ }^{27}$ of hospital admissions registered by women are related to maternity (complications of pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium) and up to three out of five ( $60 \%$ ) if we focus on the segment of women between the ages of 25 and $44^{28}$.

Figure 17. Hospital admissions with and without causes associated with maternity
Source: Afi from the Hospital Morbidity Survey (INE, 2016). Total hospital admissions 2016 (INE): 4,844,832 Note: "causes associated with maternity" refer to the group 1,500 Complications of pregnancy, delivery and puerperium (O00-O9A) of the reference statistics.


[^3]According to the Spanish National Survey (ENS), women are the most frequent users of health services in Spain: 91.4\% of women have attended a medical consultation in the last year compared to $82.1 \%$ of men.

The number of hospital stays and average time of stay (days) amounted to 17.2 million for men ( 7.9 days) and 16.6 million for women (6.9 days), with a similar incidence of urgent cases (64.6\% of men's stays, $63.6 \%$ of women's stays).

In general terms, the differential application of preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic procedures between men and women (Figure 18), measured by the number of tests undertook in the last 12 months, does not show inequalities.

Figure 18. Application of preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. Tests undertaken in the last 12 months (\% population)

Source: Afi, from the Spanish National Health Survey (ENS)
$\overline{\text { Men }} \overline{\text { Women }}$


Aside from the cases related to deliveries, there are no significant gaps between the causes of hospitalisation (Figure 19), although for about 50\% of the cases in men the cause of hospitalisation is surgical intervention, more than ten points higher than women. The rest of causes are also more frequent for men than for women, except in the case of childbirth.

Surgical intervention is the cause of hospitalisation for $50 \%$ of the cases in men, more than ten points higher than women.


Figure 19. Main causes of hospitalisation
Source: Afi, from the Spanish National Health Survey (ENS)
Men Women



## In Primary Care (PC)

Men and women go to Primary Care due to similar health problems, although men go more frequently because of general problems and problems related to respiratory and digestive systems, skin and nails, and women because of problems related to genital and urinary tract, nervous system and family planning, pregnancy, delivery and puerperium. If we eliminate genital tract and breasts, men are more likely to have problems related to the
cardiovascular system (acute myocardial infarction, cardiac ischemia without angina), respiratory system, endocrine system, metabolism and nutrition, digestive system and psychological problems and women are more likely to have problems related to the locomotor system, endocrine system, metabolism and nutrition, nervous system, blood, urinary tract, circulatory cardiovascular system (varicose veins), skin and psychological problems.

## In Specialised Ambulatory Care (SAC)

The distribution of diagnoses by clinical service is similar between men and women. Men are more likely to go to urology and general and digestive surgery; women are more likely to go to obstetrics and gynaecology, traumatology and orthopaedic surgery, and pain and rheumatology unit groups. If we eliminate the diagnoses related to the genital tract and breast,
men have a higher frequency of diagnoses related to bladder cancer, bronchial or lung cancer, coronary atherosclerosis and other heart diseases and abdominal hernia. Women have a higher frequency of diagnoses related to problems of acquired foot deformities, miscellaneous mental disorders and other disorders of the nervous system.

## In Hospital Admissions (HA)

Men are more likely to have diseases to do with the cardiovascular, circulatory and respiratory systems. Women are more likely to have diagnoses related to pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium. The greatest difference in general diagnoses, in the case of men are the diseases of the respiratory and genitourinary systems and in the case of women the diseases of the osteo-myoarticular system and connective tissue, diseases of the blood
and the haematopoietic organs. If we eliminate the diagnoses related to the genital tract and breast cancer, men have higher frequency of diagnoses related to coronary atherosclerosis and other heart diseases, history of mental health and abuse of psychotropic substances, COPD and alco-hol-related disorders and women are more likely to have thyroid disorders, mood disorders and osteoarthritis.

## Mortality

In relation to mortality, women die more frequently in situations of senile and presenile mental disorder, Alzheimer's and due to causes related to hypertensive diseases, and in a higher proportion than men due to cardiovascular diseases, being cerebrovascular diseases the main cause of death in
women. For men, the main differential causes of death are tumours (bladder, respiratory system, liver), chronic diseases of the lower respiratory tract, self-inflicted injuries and cirrhosis.

## Habits and lifestyle

With regards to habits and lifestyle, there is a higher prevalence of tobacco and alcohol consumption in men, although this is increasing in women. Greater obesity and overweightness is also observed in men, less physical activity in women and higher rate of domestic accidents in women compared to more occupational accidents in men.

## Social gender stereotypes

In relation to social gender stereotypes, one health determinants associated to them are eating disorders (ED), which include anorexia and bulimia. These diseases affect women more (ratio 7:1), $87.6 \%$ of the total number of cases diagnosed in PC in 2015 corresponds to women.

## Health services

There are no differences between men and women in the access and use of health services, except for a greater frequency of Primary Care in women, and of Hospital Care in men.



The reduction of the fertility rate has effects on the demographic and economic health of the country

Next, we will address the main effects that maternity has on the demographic and economic health of the country. As noted above, maternity determines the relationship of women with health services as well as their state of health throughout their lives.

Although fertility is biologically associated with being a woman, the practise of maternity is conditioned by the economic and social context, and the effects of that practise impact in the demographic and economic health of the country. Next reports will analyse in detail the impact of this aspect on the professional career of women and on their work-life balance.

We will begin by noting that the birth rate is determined by multiple factors. From personal and family freedom to the circumstances or conditions in which this practise is carried out (age, occupation, income, social benefits, etc.) or the effects on the family's economic welfare (distribution of time for work, care, leisure and other activities, etc.)

Taking this background into account, we observe (Figure 20) that the gross birth rate has fallen 2.5 times in

Spain with respect to that registered in 1975. The total fertility rate has fallen more than twice, with a number of births in 2017 that is $58 \%$ of those registered in 1975 and with an increase in the average age at the birth of the first child of six years, up to 31 years.

Figure 20. Fertility indicators in Spain. 1975 and 2017
Source: Afi, based on Basic Demographic Indicators (INE)


This situation shows important opportunity costs. The most immediate, from a demographic point of view, since it affects the vegetative growth and the structure of the population pyramid.

The question arises as to whether the growth of the birth rate depends on the conditions in which maternity is practised and even what would have been the size of the Spanish population in case of conditions that have favoured a greater birth of children over the last decades.

In order to answer these questions we should go back to 1985, immediately prior to the entry of Spain into the then called European Economic Community (EEC) and compare the average evolution of birth rate of the rest of the surrounding countries with that of Spain.

The selected countries are Germany, Austria, Belgium, France, Greece, Ireland, Italy, the Netherlands, Portugal, the United Kingdom and Sweden. It is remarkable that the birth rate amongst these countries has behaved differently (Figure 21), although in all cases (including Spain and except Sweden) this
has decreased in the analysed period.
The country with the best results is Sweden, with an increase of $4.4 \%$ (Figure 22), while in the rest of the countries it decreases. The average of the selected countries (without Spain) is a decrease of $18.7 \%$. Spain is below the average ( $-29.3 \%$ ), falling since the crisis of 2007-08.

## If Spain had maintained a

 behaviour similar to the average of surrounding countries, 1.2 million more people would have been born since 1985 according to the report.Figure 21. Evolution of the birth rate in European countries (1984-2016)
Source: Afi, from the World Bank


Figure 22. Rate of variation of the birth rate in European countries (1984-2016)
Source: Afi, from the World Bank


Figure 23. Global Gender Gap Index of selected countries
Source: Afi, from Global Gender Gap Index Report (2017)


Those countries that show a better birth rate are those that obtain higher scores in the Global Gender Gap Index prepared by the World Economic Forum-WEB (Sweden, Germany, United Kingdom), with the exception of Ireland (Figure 23). Those who have further decreased their birth rates are also those who have lower scores (Greece and Italy).

Focusing only on those related to the conditions in which maternity is practised, those countries with more favourable conditions have a better score in the index and a better evolution of the birth rate.

If we take parental leave as a reference for these more favourable conditions, it can be seen that countries in which this is longer, such as Sweden, Germany and the United Kingdom, show a better evolution of the birth rate (Figure 24). However, those countries with longer duration of maternity / paternity leave for women, but where men cannot take a parental leave or have just one day (Ireland, Italy), have a worse index and, in the case of Italy, a bad result in the evolution of the birth.

Figure 24. Duration of maternity / paternity leave (days)
Source: Afi, based on the Global Gender Gap Index Report (2017, WEF)



The population growth is determined by the number of births and deaths and by the migratory balance (immigrations minus emigrations) that take place in a year.

Keeping the data of the migratory balance and of the deaths, we calculate the number of annual births for Spain by taking the average variation of the birth rate of the surrounding countries.

Table 3. Projection of population growth in Spain
Source: Afi, based on the Spanish National Institute of Statistics (INE) and the World Bank.

| Edad | 1984 | 1985 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| People living in Spain | 38,330,364 | 38,469,512 | 46,444,832 | 46,484,062 |
| People living in Europe on average | 28,266,393 | 28,307,746 | 31,516,031 | 31,664,522 |
| Birth rate in Spain (for every 1,000 inhabitants) | 12.3 | 11.9 | 9.0 | 8.7 |
| Birth rate in EU on average (for every 1,000 inhabitants) | 12.7 | 12.5 | 10.4 | 10.3 |
| Variation Rate Birth Rate Average EU | - | -0.02 | -0.01 | 0.00 |
| Number of births in Spain | 473,281 | 456,298 | 420,290 | 410,583 |
| Number of deaths in Spain | 299,409 | 312,532 | 422,568 | 410,611 |
| Migratory balance (INM-EMI) |  | -4,618 | -33,772 | 39,258 |
| Number of births on average in EU | 359,754 | 354,619 | 327,194 | 327,584 |
| Projected birth rate in Spain with average EU Variation Rate | 12.3 | 11.9 | 9.0 | 8.7 |
| Births in Spain in case of Birth Rate with average EU Variation Rate | 12.3 | 12.11 | 10.03 | 10.00 |
| Average UE Variation Rate | 473,281 | 465,739 | 465,994 | 464,754 |
| Spanish population in case of Birth Rate with average EU Variation Rate | 38,330,364 | 38,478,953 | 47,629,529 | 47,722,930 |

Figure 25. Size of the Spanish population: observed Vs estimated
Source: Afi, based on Spanish National Institute of Statistics (INE) and the World Bank

47,722,930
Observed population
Projected population

46,484,062


The result of this calculation is that in 2016 there would have been 47.7 mi llion inhabitants in Spain (Figure 25), 1.2 million more than today, a figure $2.6 \%$ higher than that observed in 2016.

Of those 1.2 million, almost 900,000 people would be part of the Working Age-Population (WAP, 16-64 years) decreasing the dependency rate (calculated as the number of people over 65 years old among the WAP) by $0.8 \%$.

According to Cruz and Ahmed (2016) ${ }^{29}$, the increase of one percentage point of the WAP ratio over the total population generates a direct increase of 1.5 percentage points of GDP per capita ${ }^{30}$. This effect is found on average for 120 countries, among which are those referred to in this paper.

Applying this result to the estimates of population growth made for Spain, the WAP would have increased from $62.46 \%$ in 1984 to $65.31 \%$ in 2016 ( 0.0013 points higher than the observed), which would have increased the GDP per capita in 2016 by $0.2 \%$ (from € 24,040 to $€ 24,087$ ).

In terms of total GDP, the opportunity cost (growth we have lost due to a lower birth rate, as estimated), would be 31,003 million euros ( $2.8 \%$ of GDP observed in 2016), equivalent to almost 50\% of the annual public expenditure on health in Spain.

These results contain an important political implication, since the demographic transition facing Spain, with an population living increasingly longer live but with lower birth rates and a decreasing WAP, can entail significant opportunity costs in terms of economic growth.

The changes in the age structure of the population towards a higher WAP lead to higher production and economic resources and facilitate the generation of savings and investment in physical and human capital that positively contributes to labour productivity.

These improvements would contribute to the consolidation of public finances, allowing more resources to be allocated to households with lower incomes
and generating greater welfare for society as a whole ${ }^{31}$.

Thus, of the 31,003 million euros of GDP in 2016 that we would have obtained with a higher WAP, $9.3 \%$ would have been part of the collection for Social Security contributions, which means 2,872 million euros more in collections ${ }^{32}$.

## In terms of total GDP, the opportunity cost of a lower birth rate would be 31,003 million euros.

[^4]Table 4. Opportunity cost
Source: Afi, from Spanish National Institute of Statistics (INE) and the World Bank.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | 2016 observed | 2016 estimated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birth rate in Spain | 12.3 | 8.7 | 10.0 |
| Number of births in Spain | 473,281 | 410,583 | 464,754 |
| Population in Spain | $38,330,364$ | $46,484,062$ | $47,722,930$ |
| WAP | $23,894,249$ | $30,269,001$ | $31,167,628$ |
| 65 years and older | $4,466,289$ | $8,694,164$ | $8,694,164$ |
| GDP (million $€)$ | - | $1,118,522$ | $1,149,525$ |
| $\Delta$ GDP (million $€)$ | - | - | 31,003 |
| \% GDP 2016 | - | - | $2.8 \%$ |
| GDP per capita $(€)$ | - | 24,040 | 24,087 |
| Dependency rate | $18.7 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ |

To conclude this chapter, we will point out that the available data shows that Spain faces an important demographic challenge.

The population projections of the Spanish National Institute of Statistics (INE) (2018) show that if the current demographic trends in fertility, mortality and migration are maintained, Spain will increase in 2.4 million inhabitants in the next 15 years and 1.8 million in 2068 compared to 2018 population.

The increase in deaths throughout the period will result in a negative vegetative balance. The migratory balance will be positive, compensating the previous effect and contributing to increase the population.

The number of births will continue until 2023 with the downward trend observed since 2009. Between 2018 and 2032 there will be around 5.7 mi llion births, $16.2 \%$ less than in the previous 15 years. A small rebound in the number of births is expected between 2033 and 2048 and will subsequently decrease again in the following 15 years. The increase in the number of births between 2033 and 2048 is projected, assuming that fecundity of women evolves upwards, going from 1.31 children in 2018 to 1.41 in 2033.

Life expectancy at the age of 65 will continue to increase by 23.0 years in men and 26.9 in women in 2067 (they are expected to live up to 88.0 and 91.9 years, respectively). Despite the increase in life expectancy, the number of deaths will continue to grow due to the aging of the population.

The intensity of the aging process of the population in Spain is reflected in the population pyramid (Figure 26). The decline in the birth rate in the next 50 years (until 2068) will decrease the proportion of young people under 16 years of age and the proportion of those over 65 years will increase. As a result, the dependency rate will rise from $28.7 \%$ in 2016 to $51.7 \%$ in 2068.


Figure 26. Projection of the population pyramids in Spain: 2018, 2033 and 2068
Source: Afi from Population projections (INE 2018)




## Gross birth rate

The gross birth rate has fallen 2.5 times in Spain since 1975 and the total fertility rate has fallen more than twice. In 2017, births were $58 \%$ of those registered in 1975 and the average age at the birth of the first child has increased six years, to 31 years of age.

## Variation in the birth rate

Taking for Spain the variation of the average (simple) birth rate of the surrounding countries it can be concluded that in 2016 there could have been 47.7 million inhabitants in Spain, 1.2 million more than today.


## Population

Of those 1.2 million, almost 900,000 people would be part of the Working Age Population, decreasing the dependency rate by 0.8\%.

## Total GDP

In terms of total GDP, the growth lost due to a lower birth rate would be 31,003 million euros ( $2.8 \%$ of GDP observed in 2016), equivalent to almost $50 \%$ of the annual public spending on healthcare in Spain.


## Social contributions

Of the 31,003 million euros of GDP of 2016 that we would have obtained with a working-age population, $9.3 \%$ would have been part of the collection for Social Security social contributions, which means 2,872 million euros more in collections.


This block of the report will address the consequences that, in terms of opportunity cost, have for the health of women their still majority role of caregivers of children or family members in a situation of dependency, because the consequences in the work-life balance will be addressed in the monographic report of this gap.

Child care
Of the total number of people between the ages of 16 and 64 who give up studying or working for child care, 95\% are women (Figure 27). In this same age group, women and men share in a 2:1 ratio the responsibility of attending dependents on a regular basis.

Figure 27. People between 16 and 64 years old. $\overline{\text { Hombres }} \overline{\text { Mujeres }}$
Source: Afi based on work-life balance (INE, 2010)
$95 \%$



This allocation of tasks has an effect on the employment rate for people between 25 to 49 years depending on whether men and women have children under 12 years of age (age coinciding with the maximum limit recognised by law for the reduction of working hours to care for children). Thus (Figure 28), while having children of that age does not seem to have a negative effect on the employment rate in the case of men, its effect is the opposite in the case of women. Furthermore, the intensity of the effect in both grows with the number of children of this age.

This may be related to the fact that women, especially those who work outside the household, tend to assume the role of primary caregiver, while men tend to assume the role of secondary caregiver ${ }^{33}$.

Figure 28. Employment rate (25-49 years) with / without children under 12
 years of age
Source: Afi from the Spanish Active Population Survey (INE, 2016)


[^5]

In order to make this unequal dedication visible, Table 5 shows a true panorama of the available statistics of time spent to non-professional care of the elderly or chronically ill.

Caring for the elderly or the sick
More than 4.3 million people declare to care for these people in a non-professional manner. 59\% of them are women and spend $62 \%$ of the hours declared, 1,800 million hours per year (out of a total of 2,900 million). This is a non-professional work that, if formalised, would be equivalent to more than

977,000 full-time annual jobs, taking into account that the Spanish Workers' Statute establishes that the maximum legal workday in Spain is 1,826 annual hours. The economic potential of the time differential that women employ in these care tasks amounts to $\mathbf{7 , 8 1 2}$ million euros a year, which is $0.7 \%$ of GDP and $85 \%$ of the monthly payroII of all contributory pensions in the country.

Table 5. Number of hours spent in non-professional care of the elderly or those with chronic illnesses (Spanish National Health Survey-ENS-)
Source: Afi from the Spanish National Health Survey (ENS) and the Annual Labour Cost Survey (INE, 2016)

|  | Caregivers | \% of total | < $10 \mathrm{H}^{*}$ | \% of total | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 10 \text { or } \\ & <20^{*} \end{aligned}$ | \% of total | >20* | \% of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total (men and women) | 4,383,341 | 100\% | 1,573,082 | 35.9\% | 834,730 | 19.0\% | 1,954,048 | 44.6\% |
| Total hours spent in a week | 55,293,678 | 100\% | 7,865,412 | 14.2\% | 8,347,300 | 15.1\% | 39,080,966 | 70.7\% |
| Total hours spent in a year | 2,875,271,239 | - | 409,001,411 | - | 434,059,598 | - | 2,032,210,230 | - |
| N ${ }^{\circ}$ women* | 2,585,016 | 59\% | 839,365 | 19.1\% | 460,339 | 10.5\% | 1,276,171 | 29.1\% |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ hours spent in a week | 34,323,633 | 62\% | 4,196,823 | 7.6\% | 4,603,392 | 8.3\% | 25,523,417 | 46.2\% |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ hours spent in a year | 1,784,828,926 | - | 218,234,821 | - | 239,376,409 | - | 1,327,217,696 | 1,149,525 |
| N ${ }^{\text {men* }}$ | 1,798,325 | 41\% | 733,718 | 16.7\% | 374,391 | 8.5\% | 677,877 | 15.5\% |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ hours spent in a week | 20,970,044 | 38\% | 3,668,588 | 6.6\% | 3,743,907 | 6.8\% | 13,557,549 | 24.5\% |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ hours spent in a year | 1,090,442,312 | - | 190,766,589 | - | 194,683,189 | - | 704,992,534 | - |
| Difference $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$ hours women and men | 694,386,614 | 24\% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Labour cost of care/hour $(€)^{34}$ | 11.25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Annual cost of the difference (million €) | 7,812 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^6]

The time spent in care activities has, in addition to the direct economic implications described associated with unpaid working time, other related to health. In particular, a higher prevalence of diseases that can be disabling such as depression, anxiety or stress.

## Prevalence of depression

According to the Spanish National Health Survey (ENS), $13.3 \%$ of women have suffered depression at some time in their lives ( $9.7 \%$ in the last year), compared to $6.0 \%$ of men (4.5\%).

It is remarkable the highest prevalence of depression among women unable to work ( $34.2 \%$ of women unable to work report having suffered it in the last year), followed by retired women (17.7\%), those who are dedicated to housework(12.8\%), those who are unemployed ( $10.1 \%$ ) and those who are working outside the household (5.1\%).

- In men, it is also those who are unable to work who have the greatest proportion of depression in the last 12 months (29.0\%), followed by those who are unemployed (7.1\%), retired ( $6.7 \%$ ) and those who are working (1.9\%).

As for anxiety, $11.8 \%$ of women report having suffered at some time compa-
red to $5.5 \%$ of men, $9.8 \%$ of women and $4.8 \%$ of men in the last year.

- The prevalence of chronic anxiety in the last year in women is higher in those unable to work (32.2\%), retired (13.7\%), unemployed (12.1\%), those who work in the household ( $10.6 \%$ ) and employees (7.1\%).
- In men, it is also the unable to work who have the highest prevalence of chronic anxiety (25.9\%), followed by the unemployed ( $8.5 \%$ ), the retired and those who work in the home ( $4.9 \%$ ) and those who are working outside the household (3.1\%).

The differences between men and women in instances of depression begin in adolescence, increase in adulthood and are reduced in long-lived periods. There are several studies that mention that these differences are not only due to biological causes but also to social conditions such as the inequality of power and social position, as well as the physical and emotional overload of balancing work and family care, as evidenced in this section.

These causes can affect both men and women, but women are more exposed to them, although "depressions are underdiagnosed and even more in men because they do not recognise or
show depression." (Curto, Grau, Fortuño, Riobóo , \& Vidal (2011).

Among the social factors mentioned above, the different role that women assume -or they have socially assig-ned- in society in general and in the provision of non-professional (and therefore unpaid) care of dependent persons (children, sick, and elderly), in particular. The following pages address this relationship and its economic effects.

Prevalence of depression and anxiety in relation to the care of people in situations of dependency

For this purpose, the database of the Spanish National Health Survery (ENS) is used, which refers only to the care of sick and elderly dependents, without including the care of the children. From these data it appears that $12.9 \%$ of women and $9.5 \%$ of men have taken care at least once a week of elderly or chronically ill people.

Of these people, $18.4 \%$ of women and $9.8 \%$ of men report having had depression at some time in their lives, while $13.4 \%$ and $7.3 \%$, respectively, declare to have suffered depression in the last 12 months. Regarding anxiety, $16.2 \%$ of women and $9.0 \%$ of men caregivers have suffered it at some time
in their lives ( $13.6 \%$ and $7.3 \%$, respectively, in the 12 last months).

Comparing these figures with the general data, we see that there is a higher prevalence of depression and anxiety among women who care for the elderly or chronically ill.

The study carried out in Spain and in six other countries ${ }^{36}$ within the framework of the campaign Embracing Carers (led by Merck) and supported by the European association Eurocarers together with other international organisations of caregivers, reveals that the $47 \%$ of non-professional caregivers have symptoms and need medical attention for depression, anxiety and stress and $61 \%$ consider that their physical health suffers from the work they perform day by day as caregivers of family members or patients.

The reasons include difficulties to sleep, lack of time to go to the doctor or to do healthy exercise, effects in their professional careers and in the level of income due to the reduction of working hours, family stress, etc.

In order to analyse whether the prevalence of depression and anxiety is statistically and significantly different between men and women, and among caregivers, a probabilistic model is estimated with data from the Spanish National Health Survey done by INE, controlling for different socioeconomic factors (methodological detail can be found the annex) ${ }^{37}$.

The probability of suffering depression in women is 5.5 points higher than in men. The care increases the probability of suffering depression in women by 3.4 additional points. As for anxiety, the probability of women suffering it is 5.8 points higher than in men, and care increases that probability by 2.7 additional points.

This situation has its corresponding opportunity cost. According to Oli-va-Moreno, López-Bastida, Monte-jo-González, Osuna-Guerrero and Duque-González ${ }^{38}$ (2009) the cost of mental illness for the population in general in Spain is 7,019 million euros (0.6\% of the GDP in 2016), of which $39.6 \%$ are direct medical costs, $7.3 \%$ are informal care costs and $42.7 \%$ are labour productivity losses.

Considering the opportunity cost of labour productivity losses, the cost of temporary work leave due to the
prevalence of depression and anxiety is estimated. To calculate the distribution by gender of work leave due to temporary disability due to these diagnoses, the distribution of the cases registered in PC is applied (Table 6).

## The probability of suffering depression in women is 5.5 points higher than in men.

36 France, Germany, Italy, United Kingdom, United States and Australia. 3,516 non-professional caregivers interviewed in the period between July 7 and August 8, 2017.
37 In this analysis we include, in addition to the explanatory variables mainly observed (gender, care) other socioeconomic variables (employment situation, age, marital status and educational level) that also affect the likelihood of suffering depression and anxiety. There are other non-observable factors not included in the estimate (number of children, family relationship with the recipient of the care, among others) that could affect the estimated probability and vary the results. Nonetheless, from the analysis carried out, significant results are obtained that are also consistent with those found in the related literature.
38 Oliva-Moreno, J., López-Bastida, J., Montejo-González, A. L., Osuna-Guerrero, R., \& Duque-González, B. (2009). The socioeconomic costs of mental illness in Spain. The European Journal of Health Economics, 10(4), 361-369.

Table 6. Cases of mental disorders in PC (2014)
Source: Clinical Database Promary Care (BDCAP)

|  | Men | Women |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| P01 - Feeling of anxiety / tension / nervousness | 239,183 | 444,228 |
| P03 - Depressive feelings | 8,877 | 22,615 |
| P74 - Anxiety disorders / anxiety state | 365,042 | 627,303 |
| P76 - Depression / depressive disorders | 158,294 | 347,181 |
| Subtotal depression and anxiety in PC | 771,396 | $1,441,327$ |
| Distribución | $34,9 \%$ | $65,1 \%$ |




> The temporary inability to work that depression and anxiety generate has an impact on the GDP of 345 million euros more in the case of women.

Thus, taking into account the total gross labour cost and the cost per hour in 2016 for an average worker ( 30,708 and 15,35 euros, respectively), it is calculated the cost of temporary work leaves caused by anxiety and depression. There were 140,708 temporary work leaves (MTOIT, Spanish National Institute of Social Security-INSS-) with an average duration of 66 days ${ }^{39}$. Considering a full work day of 8 hours, the losses due to depression and anxiety would mean 1,140 million euros ( $0.1 \%$ of GDP) in 2016.

Distinguishing between men and women, and with the hypotheses described, the incidence of depression and anxiety in the GDP by way of temporary disability that is 345 million euros is greater in women than in men, which represents $0,03 \%$ of GDP.

The data show that there is a higher prevalence and probability of suffering depression and anxiety for women.

However, despite this evidence, in order to be able to accurately measure the proportion of the opportunity cost that corresponds to the impact of care on women's health, there should not be unobservable factors that condition the analysis; factors that cannot be isolated due to the level of detail of the information available.

39 See note 37




Employment and its consequences in health

Another issue analysed in this report is the consequences of employment as a determinant element for health and, therefore, with potential of generating value for the economy.

In this regard, it is observed that, by type of working day, six out of ten full working days are undertaken by men compared to one in four of the parttime work days.

The main areas of activity by number of employed men are manufacturing (17.3\%), commerce (14.6\%), construction (10.0\%), transportation and storage ( $7.4 \%$ ) and hospitality ( 7 , $4 \%$ ). The main areas of employment for women are concentrated in the
commerce sector (17.4\%), health activities (14.2\%), hospitality (10.2\%), education (9.9\%) and manufacturing (7.2 \%).

By professional situation, women are usually salaried employees ( $87.8 \%$, of which $68.1 \%$ correspond to the private sector and $19.6 \%$ to the public sector), while self-employed workers are only $12.2 \%$ of the total of employees. Four out of every five men (79.9\%) are salaried workers (private sector 66.8\% and public sector $13.1 \%$ ) and one in five (20.1\%) are self-employed workers.

Figure 29. Distribution of work leaves by Social Security scheme
$\overline{\text { Men }} \overline{\text { Women }}$
Source: Afi, from INSS


In relation to occupational diseases (OD) - those contracted as a result of work and caused by external elements or substances ${ }^{40}$-, men are more affected -from the percentage of the reports with leave in the OC group- by inhalation of substances, while women are more affected by biological agents (Figure 30). The average duration of sick leave for OD is 95.21 days in the case of men and 105.84 days in the case of women (about 11 days more).


4040 Group 1: Occupational diseases caused by chemical agents; Group 2: Occupational diseases caused by physical agents; Group 3: Occupational diseases caused by biological agents; Group 4: Occupational diseases caused by inhalation of substances and agents not included in other sections; Group 5: Occupational skin diseases caused by substances and agents, not included in any of the other sections; Group 6. Occupational diseases caused by carcinogenic agents. The Spanish Secretary of the State of Social Security, General Directorate of Social
Security Management, collects this information in the CEPROSS database.

Figure 30. Main agents that cause OD in men and women
Source: Afi from CEPROSS 2017


- Occupational diseases caused by chemical agents
- Occupational diseases caused by chemical agents
- Occupational diseases caused by biological agents
- Occupational diseases caused by inhalation of substances and agents not included in other sections
- Occupational diseases of the skin caused by substances and agents not included in any of the other sections
- Occupational diseases caused by carcinogens

Figure 31.
Work accidents with leave according to economic activity. Men
Source: Afi from PANOTRASS 2017


- Activities of households as employers of domestic personnel
- Building
- Other services
- Water supply, sanitation activities, waste management and decontamination

A higher incidence of "home" accidents reported by women has been also detected -in a ratio greater than 2 times compared to men, as reported in Figure 15.

The activities with the highest OD reported in men are manufacturing of metallic products except machinery and equipment ( $8.9 \%$ ); food industry (8.1\%); manufacture of motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers (7.8\%) and specialised construction. In women, retail trade (13.6\%); health activities (11.1\%); services to buildings and gardening activities (9.2\%) and food and beverage services.

By activity sectors ${ }^{41}$, among women
(Figure 32), information and communications have an incidence of OD caused by physical agents 14 times higher than men.

The accumulation of OD caused by different agents in the same sector of activity is also observed in women. Such is the case of other services, primary sector, hospitality and education (where ODs are caused by physical agents and skin diseases), and health and social services activities, where ODs are caused by chemical agents and skin diseases.


Figure 32. Incidence of OD by causative agent and activity sector. Women. 2017
Source: Afi, from CEPROSS



Among men (Figure 33), the incidence of $O D$ related to physical agents is more than 4 times higher than in women in activities carried out as employers or producers of goods and services, while in construction it is more than 3 times.

In activities of the primary sector performed by men, ODs caused by biological agents are the ones with the greatest differentiation.

A greater incidence of ODs caused by inhalation of substances is observed in the manufacturing industry,
administrative activities and auxiliary services and Public Administrations, defence and social security.

[^7]Figure 33. Incidence of OD by causative agent and activity sector. Men. 2017
Source: Afi, from CEPROSS


Permanent disability (PD) is a social benefit that covers the loss of salary or professional income of people affected by an illness or work accident that limits or doesn't allow them to work in a predictably definitive manner. It is divided into total PD, full PD and severe disability.

Men benefit from PD more than twice as much as women (Figure 34), although the trend has been going gradually downward over the last four years. On the other hand, PD leave shows a proportion between men and women ( 1.5 times in 2017), probably motivated by the very circumstances of this leave (death, attainment of the age or benefit period).

It has not been possible to carry out an analysis of causes and valuations of requested PD certifications with the public information available in the competent public administrations.

[^8]Figure 34. M / W ratio of admissions and withdrawals due to Permanent Disability ${ }^{42}$, 2014-2017




## 2.5

Unconscious gender biases in health


# The health problems related to cardiovascular diseases (CVD) are more frequently diagnosed in men, even though women die more due to these illnesses. 

[^9]In this chapter we will analyse the unconscious biases of gender and its effects in health. These are materialised in two types of expressions: the universalisation of diagnoses/ therapies/ procedures by extrapolating the results obtained with men (androcentrism) and the differential treatment based on beliefs or stereotypes.

## Accuracy in diagnostics

Another dimension that we propose to analyse is the greater frequency of lack of accuracy in the diagnosis of illnessesin the case of women (recurrence to the "other" category) and the different procedures applied to men and women with the same symptoms.

Women died from CVD in a greater proportion than men44 and cerebrovascular diseases were the main cause of death in women in 2016. However, when diagnoses are compared ${ }^{45}$, it is observed that:

Men are more frequently diagnosed with diseases of the cardiovascular system ( 327,448 cases) than women, prevailing over women in angina pectoris, acute myocardial infarction (AMI), other ischemic heart diseases, cardiac dysrhythmias, cerebrovascular diseases, atherosclerosis and other diseases of the circulatory or cardiovascular system.

Women are less frequently diagnosed with these diseases ( 252,323 cases), of which the most important are hypertensive diseases, diseases of the pulmonary circulation, cardiac insufficiency and varicose veins of the lower extremities.

Also, the most prevalent illneses, by level of attention, are:

- In PC, the diagnosis of AMI and cardiac ischemia without angina in men ${ }^{46}$, while in women is the varicose veins in the lower extremities ${ }^{47}$.

In HA, the diagnosis of coronary atherosclerosis and other heart diseases in men ${ }^{48}$, while in women there was no evidence of CVD.

Therefore, it is evident that throughout the medical care cycle, health problems related to CVD are diagnosed more often in men than in women, although the causes of death in women are more related to CVD than in the case of men.


The reasons behind the greater number of deaths due to CVD in women are conditioned by genetic/ hereditary/ biological risk factors such as age, gender or genetic/ hereditary factors that cannot be modified, they are immutable; and by social factors/ life habits/ androcentrism, on which we can act ${ }^{49}$ in a preventive way. Among others, arterial hypertension (much more frequent in women), smoking (addiction trends were analysed in previous sections), the level of blood cholesterol, diabetes, overweight/ obesity, sedentary lifestyle and stress, among others.

Other specific risk factors for women are the polycystic ovaries, the oral contraceptive consumption and the hormonal factors throughout the life cycle already mentioned.

According to the $\mathrm{WHO}^{50}$, AMI and premature cerebral vascular accidents are preventable in more than $80 \%$ of cases by promoting a healthy lifestyle, as well as education and research in health.

Women tend to have a higher heart rate, smaller size of the cardiac cavities and narrower coronary arteries than men, which influences the functioning of the cardiovascular circulatory system. In addition, the hormones also influence the risk of suffering CVD, since oestrogen are a mechanism of protection that varies throughout the life cycle.

At the end of fertility for women, the risk factors are multiplied (diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension and obesity). For this reason, they are older when they suffer from CVD, which also complicates recovery. There are also cardiovascular illnesses typical of the peripartum to be taken into consideration.

Social knowledge about health plays a decisive role in the detection of diseases. According to a report51, 39\% of women recognise the symptoms of AMI compared to $57 \%$ of men. They are mistaken for digestive, respiratory or anxiety/ depression problems. As a consequence, the delay in coming to emergencies by women is greater, which increases the probability of death.

This lower diagnosis is due to the fact that women may present a different symptomatology to men regarding AMI and a lower risk perception, since people tend to relate it more frequently to elderly men.


Procedures and treatments
The situation that has just been exposed in relation to the symptomatology also has consequences in the treatments. According to the Spanish Society of Cardiology (SEC), "with the same symptoms of cardiovascular disease, only $15 \%$ of women receive adequate treatment, while the percentage reaches 56\% in men" ${ }^{52}$.

Women realise late that they are suffering a CVD, which delays the treatment and worsens the prognosis. Therefore, for the improvement of the detection of CVD, it is essential to carry out studies and clinical trials that include women, with the objective of finding the particular symptoms in them and disseminating information to society.

The hospitalisation database (CMBD-H) allows the crossing of main diagnoses with registered procedures, gender and age groups. In order to isolate as much as possible the biological effects on the diagnoses and their corresponding procedures, we select those related to neoplasms and the circulatory, cardiovascular and nervous systems for men and women aged 65 and over, taking into account the number of diagnoses by system and gender in this age group.

The number of procedures performed per diagnosis is calculated for each gender and those that represent at least $1 \%$ of the total procedures are selected to analyse whether there are differences according to gender.

No differences were observed between men and women in the procedures performed in hospitalisations in neoplasms and diseases of the nervous system but in some of the diagnoses of the cardiovascular system. Thus, in the case of the diagnosis of AMI, it is observed that for each diagnosis in men a greater number of procedures are performed than for each diagnosis in women.

For every 100 men diagnosed with AMI, 80.9 coronary arteries and catheterisations are performed, 65.1 in the case of women diagnosed with AMI, which means a difference of 15.9 points.

As for the rest of the procedures (other procedures in vessels other than head and neck, diagnostic ultrasound of the heart -echocardiograms-, other cardiovascular therapeutic procedures wi-
thout surgery and Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty -PTCA-), the differences are 22.2, 4.5; 12.1, and 11.2 points, respectively.

In the case of acute cerebrovascular diseases, for every 100 people diagnosed, 21.5 magnetic resonances are performed in men and 16.6 in women (4.9 points of difference).

> Health problems related to cardiovascular diseases are more frequently diagnosed in men than in women, although there are more women who die of these illnesses.

[^10]

## 2.6

Women live four years longer but with worse health

Finally, we analyse the consequences of the opportunity cost in the last phase of women's lives, especially on the consequences of greater longevity in the context of a country, Spain, that is amongst the top positions in the world in terms of life expectancy at birth ( 85.84 years in women and 80.31 years in men, 2016, INE).

Thus, in October 2018 the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) in Washington published in The Lancet the results of a study that places Spain as the longest-lived country in the world in 2040. However, although women have a life expectancy at birth six years higher than men, and 3.9 years higher when they reach 65

Figure 35
Life expectancy at birth and in good health-free of disability


| Life expectancy at birth | Life expectancy in good health-no <br> disability (years) |
| :--- | :--- |

years of age, if only years in good health are considered the difference disappears (Figure 35) and even it is reversed compared to men (66.06 vs 67.47 years).


Therefore, women live longer than men, but with worse health. Specifically, women live four more years in a situation of poor health or disability with respect to men (Figure 36).

In addition, three out of every four single-person households over 65 years of age are women living alone.

Figure 36. Difference of life expectancy in good health between men and women
Source: Afi, from (INE, 2017)


The differences in the health conditions in which women and men reach old age is a reflection of the accumulation of gender inequalities and other social factors that have been analysed throughout the report, which condition some of the prevalent illneses.

If these inequalities are eliminated, the potential saving for the whole society of women reaching old age with better health would be 8,945 million euros per year (during those four additional years in poor health) due to the care required by dependents. This is equivalent to $70 \%$ of the total pharmaceutical bill.

After having reached 75 years of age, men and women live in poor health,
respectively, 8.7 and 12.7 more years, generating a gap of 4 years of poor health for women.

In 2016, there were 2,651,874 women in Spain over 75 years of age, of whom 1,832,163 (69.1\%) were functional dependents for personal care (Table 7), that is, they have difficulties to eat, sitting and getting up, getting dressed, going to the toilet, showering, etc. If we apply to this group the distribution of dependence degrees assigned by public administrations ${ }^{54}$, the estimated distribution would be as shown in Table 8.

Table 7
Population $>75$ years and declared functional dependency
Source: Afi from Spanish Resident population 2016 and National Health Survey 2016 (INE)

|  | No. of people | Population $>75$ years with some declared <br> functional dependency | \% over total <br> $>75$ years |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women $>75$ years | $2,651,87$ | $1,832,163$ | $69.1 \%$ |

Table 8
Distribution of functional dependents> 75 years by degree (estimate)
Source: Afi estimate from SAAD 2016

| Mujeres |  | Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Degree III | 438,957 |  |
| Degree II |  | 549,711 |
| Degree I |  | 475,495 |
| No Degree |  | 367,999 |
| Men |  | Data |
| Degree III | 221,520 |  |
| Degree II | 277,411 |  |
| Degree I | 239,958 |  |
| No Degree | 185,711 |  |

[^11]

The cost of the care that this group of men and women need to improve their welfare in their situation of functional dependency, has been estimated considering the salary and labour cost of the caregivers, that is, 11.25 euros/ hour ${ }^{55}$ per caregiver.

If we assign care considering the degree of dependency (Degree III 8 hours/ day, Degree II 4 hours/ day and both Degree I and No Degree 2 hours/ day), the potential savings associated
with a better health situation in the women older than 75 years (equivalent to extend the life expectancy in good health), considering the differences between men and women, would be 8,945 million euros, equivalent to $0.8 \%$ of GDP. This means $70 \%$ of the total pharmaceutical bill.

Table 9. Estimation of the economic value (potential savings) of extending women's life expectancy in good health Source: Afi from Spanish Resident population 2016 and National Health Survey 2016 (INE)

|  | Million € per year | \% of GDP | Potential savings (Difference women - men) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women $>75$ years <br> old | 18,059 | $1.6 \%$ | 8,945 million euros a year |
| Men $>75$ years old | 9,114 | $0.8 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ of GDP |

The economic value has been contrasted with other previous reports on longevity and dependency ${ }^{56}$ whose summary is presented in the methodological description of the Annex and which presents similar values.

[^12]


## Life expectancy

Women have a life expectancy at birth six years higher than men, and 3.9 years higher when they reach 65 years of age. However, if only the years in good health are considered, the difference disappears and men present better perspectives.

## Lifetime

Therefore, women live longer than men, but with worse health. Specifically, women live four years longer than men in a situation of poor health or disability.


## GDP

If women could live in better circumstances for these four years that they are living in poor health compared to men, the potential savings would be 8,945 million euros a year, which is nearly 1 point of GDP ( $0.8 \%$ ). This is equivalent to $70 \%$ of the total pharmaceutical bill.


Conclusions


At birth, Spanish women have a life expectancy of 85.84 years and men of 80.31 years. Men rate their health better ( $78 \%$ ), compared to women (70\%).

There are two types of factors that determine the health of people: biological and social. The first one genetically predisposes people to certain health problems; the second determines the vulnerability of people's health according to gender, age, income, educational level, employment status and residence, among other socio-demographic factors.

When women and men present differences regarding their health status, we encounter a gender gap in this area. This has several economic and social effects which have been quantitatively and qualitatively analysed by this report.


Inequalities in the prevalence of diseases and life habits

The use of the health system does not present significant differences between women and men, although there is a greater use of Primary Care in women and of Hospital Care in men.

However, there are important differences in the prevalence of diseases. In men, diseases of the respiratory or cardiovascular system, and cancer. In women, diseases of the osteo-myoarticular system, nervous system and mood disorders.

In relation to mortality, women die more frequently in situations of senile and presenile mental disorder, from Alzheimer's and from causes related to hypertensive diseases, and in a higher proportion than men due to cardiovascular diseases, with cerebrovascular diseases being the main cause of death in women. For men, the main differential causes of death are tumours (bladder, respiratory system, liver), chronic diseases of the lower respiratory tract, self-inflicted injuries and cirrhosis.

In relation to habits and lifestyle, there is greater levels of obesity and overweightness in men, less physical activity by women and a higher prevalence of tobacco and alcohol consumption in men, although this is increasing in women.

In addition, social gender stereotypes still persist, a situation considered as a problem by two out of every three Spaniards. One of the associated health determinants are eating disorders (ED), among which are anorexia and bulimia. 87.6\% of the total cases diagnosed in PC in 2015 corresponded to women.


The reduction of the fertility rate has effects on the demographic and economic health of the country

Maternity determines the relationship of women with health services, as well as their state of health throughout their lives. Its influence on health goes beyond the biological perspective, extending to the responsibility of the care of minors in the first months or years.

The reduction of the fertility rate has effects on the demographic and economic health of the country. The gross birth rate, determined by multiple factors, has decreased 2.5 times with respect to that registered in 1975, while the total fertility rate has decreased more than 2 times, with a number of births in 2017 that is $58 \%$ of those registered in 1975, and an increase in the average age at the birth of the first child of six years, up to 31 years old.

These indicators have effects on the demographic and economic health of the country. If Spain had behaved similarly in line with the average of other countries in the region, in 2016 the Spanish population would have reached 47.7 million inhabitants, 1.2 million more than the population registered that year.

Almost 900,000 of them would be today part of the working-age population, what would decrease the dependency rate by $0.8 \%$ and contribute to GDP with 31 billion euros in 2016 ( $2.8 \%$ of GDP, equivalent to almost $50 \%$ of the annual public expenditure on health in Spain), of which 2,872 million euros ( $9.3 \%$ ) would have been part of the collection for Social Security contributions.


The role of the non-professional caregiver from a gender perspective

When analysing the role of the non-professional caregiver from the perspective of gender, we realise that in Spain persists, as in other countries of the region, an unequal distribution of the non-professional care responsibilities between men and women.
$59 \%$ of the 4.3 million people who take care of the elderly and chronically ill in a non-professional manner are women ( $64 \%$ in the case of care for dependents). They spend 1,800 million hours ( $62 \%$ of the total hours declared) per year. This is non-professional work that, if formalised, would be equivalent to more than 977,000 full-time annual jobs, taking into account that the Spanish Workers' Statute establishes that the maximum legal working day in Spain is 1,826 annual hours.

The economic value of the greater time spent by women in care tasks amounts to 7,812 million euros per year.

This inequality regarding care also has implications for health. Since the probability of suffering depression and anxiety in women is 5.5 and 5.8 points, respectively, higher than in men, it is increased by 3.4 and 2.7 additional points, respectively, in the case of women caregivers.

This greater probability means a deterioration of health that, in exclusively economic terms, regarding the greater amount of leave by temporary disability in women, amounts to 345 million euros ( $0.03 \%$ of the GDP).


Employment and its consequences in health

The report also showed that women accumulate occupational diseases caused by different factors in the same sector of activity. This is the case of other services, primary sector, hospitality and education (where there are those caused by physical agents and skin diseases) and health activities and social services, with diseases caused by chemical agents and skin diseases.

In this same area, it has been detected that occupations predominantly performed by women concentrate occupational diseases that require a longer recovery time, which could explain why the average duration of sick leave is 11 days higher for women ( 105.84 days) than for men ( 95.21 days).

The incidence of "home" accidents reported by women is two times higher than men.



Unconscious gender biases in health
The analysis of prevalent pathologies indicates unconscious biases in gender in terms of health.

Health problems related to cardiovascular diseases are more frequently diagnosed in men than in women, despite there being more women who die from these illnesses than men. The symptoms of acute myocardial infarction in women are less known, which could lead to a lower perception of the risk of suffering it by women, and could explain why there are less diagnoses in their case.


Women live four years longer but with worse health

The gender inequalities that have been exposed throughout the report contribute to the different conditions and health status in which women and men reach old age. In fact, women live four years longer than men, but with worse health.

The potential saving for the whole society of women reaching old age with better health would be 8,945 million euros per year (during these four additional years in poor health), which means $0.8 \%$ of GDP. This is equivalent to $70 \%$ of the total pharmaceutical bill.

## Opportunity cost

Inequalities in the prevalence of diseases and life habits

Personal and family sphere

## Economy and society

Public budgets

Main illnesses found in men: cardiovascular, respiratory, endocrine, metabolism and nutrition, digestive and psychological problems
Main illnesses found in women: locomotor, endocrine, metabolism and nutrition, nervous, blood, urinary, circulatory cardiovascular, skin and psychological problems (depression)
Male mortality: tumours (bladder, respiratory system, liver), chronic lower respiratory tract diseases, selfinflicted injuries and cirrhosis
Female mortality: senile and presenile mental disorder, Alzheimer's, hypertensive diseases, cerebrovascular and cardiovascular diseases

Men: pathologies of the digestive and respiratory system related to consumption habits and risk practices Women: pathologies of locomotor system, thyroid and mood disorders, associated with sedentary lifestyle and obesity
To pay attention to the smoking trend in women
There are no inequalities or gaps in the access to and the use of health services
36.000 patients; $88 \%$ women $\mid \quad$ Treatment cost

The reduction in the fertility rate has effects on the demographic and economic health of the country

Loss of the possibility of reaching 47.7 million inhabitants in 2016, ( +1.2 million) of which 0.9 million would be part of the working-age population
Loss of 31 billion euros in 2016 ( $2.8 \%$ GDP) and of the possibility of reducing the dependency rate at $0.8 \%$

The role of the
caregiver from a gender perspective
$\Delta$ probability of depression in 3.0 percentage points

1,800 million more hours spent in unpaid care ( $€ 7,812$ million/ year)

Higher occurences of depression, anxiety in informal women caregivers> € 345 million/ year (0.03\% GDP)

## Employment and

 its consequences in health+ Exposure to biological agents
+ Combination of agents
- work accidents + home accidents

Men benefit from PD in a ratio > 2 times than women.

Duration of the recovery time due to occupational disease 11 days longer in women
Unconscious
gender biases in
health

Higher number of procedures in response to the same CVD and cerebrovascular in men
health

In old age, women live four years longer in poor health
1.8 million women> 75 years old functional dependent Living 4 years longer in poor health €8,945 million/ year (0.8\% GDP)

## ANNEX

## Methodology

Approach
The "Guide of indicators to measure the inequalities of gender in health and its determinants" of the Andalusian School of Public Health makes a proposal of approach and indicators, inspired by "Unequal, Unfair, Ineffective and Inefficient. Gender Inequity in Health: Why it exists and how can we change it", that served as a starting point for the analytical approach to the gap.

## Methodological description

Inequalities in the prevalence of diseases and life habits.

## Primary Care (PC)

1.1. Source and classification codes

Source: Primary Care Clinic Database, Spanish Ministry of Health and Consumer Affairs (BDCAP, MSCBS), 2015. Codes of International Classification of Primary Care 2 (CIAP2).

### 1.2. Calculation of differential diseases

From the total number of cases of registered health problems (HP) -problem or circumstance for which a user is treated, either by a health promotion action, a disease prevention action or by attending to it, and which is reflected in the clinical history with an international classification code in use-:

1. Those related to the reproductive system ( $M$ and W), including breasts, are eliminated.
2. The percentage of prevalence of each HP for each gender is calculated on the total number of people attended for each gender (the same person can register several health problems in the period analysed)
3. The ratio between the prevalence of both is calculated from both the male ( $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{W}$ ) and the female ( $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{M}$ ) perspective.
4. The ratios indicating a prevalence 2 times higher than the prevalence of the opposite gender are identified.
5. HPs with a prevalence $<1 \%$ over the total for each gender are eliminated.
1.3. List of diseases related to the reproductive system and breasts removed from the analysis W Family planning, pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium (eliminated health problems)
W76: Congenital anomalies that complicate pregnancy
W70: Infection / puerperal sepsis
W71: Other infectious diseases in pregnancy / childbirth / puerperium
W75: Injuries that complicate pregnancy
W73: Benign / unspecified neoplasms in relation
to pregnancy
W72: Malignant neoplasms in relation to pregnancy
W78: Pregnancy
W79: Unwanted pregnancy
W80: Ectopic pregnancy
W81: Toxaemia of pregnancy
W82: Miscarriage
W83: Induced abortion
W84: High risk pregnancy
W85: Gestational diabetes
W90: Normal delivery / newborn alive
W91: Normal delivery / newborn dead
W92: Complicated delivery / newborn alive
W93: Complicated delivery / newborn dead
W93 / 92: Complicated delivery not included in W92 or W93
W93-90: Delivery not included in W90 to W93 or W93 / 92
W94: Puerperal mastitis
W95: Other breast problems / diseases in pregnancy / puerperium
W96: Other complications of the puerperium
W99: Other problems / diseases of pregnancy / delivery
W99 / 96: Other problems / diseases of pregnancy / delivery / puerperium not included in W96 or W99
W01: Questions about pregnancy
W02: Fear of being pregnant
W03: Haemorrhage before delivery
W05: Pregnancy vomiting / morning sickness W10: Post coital contraception
W11: Oral contraception, in women
W12: Intrauterine contraception
W17: Postpartum haemorrhage
W18: Other signs / symptoms of postpartum
W19: Signs / symptoms of breast / breastfeeding W21: Concern about appearance in pregnancy
W27: Fear of complications of pregnancy
W28: Incapacity / disability due to pregnancy / delivery / puerperium
W29: Other signs / symptoms of pregnancy / delivery / puerperium

XY Genital system (eliminated health problems) X83: Congenital anomalies of the female genital tract

Y81: Phimosis / Excessive foreskin
Y82: Hypospadias
Y83: Testicle not descended
Y84: Other congenital anomalies of the male genital tract
X72: Genital candidiasis, in women
X74: Pelvic inflammatory disease
X92: Genital infection due to chlamydia in women

Y73: Prostatitis / seminal vasculitis
Y74: Orchitis / epididymitis
Y75: Balanitis
X78: Uterine fibromyoma

X80: Benign neoplasms of the female genital tract

X81: Unspecified / other genital neoplasms in women
Y79: Benign / unspecified neoplasms of the breast / male genital tract
YX79: Benign breast neoplasms (includes X79)
X75: Malignant neoplasms of the cervix
X76: Malignant neoplasms of the breast, in women
X77: Other female genital neoplasms
Y77: Malignant prostate neoplasms
Y78: Other malignant neoplasms of the breast /
male genital tract
X84: Unspecified vaginitis / vulvitis
X85: Other problems of cervix
X86: Abnormal cervical cytology
X87: Uterovaginal prolapse
X88: Fibrocystic mastopathy
X89: Premenstrual syndrome
Y85: Benign prostatic hypertrophy
Y86: Hydrocele
X01: Genital pain in women
X02: Menstrual pain
X03: Intermenstrual pain
X04: Pain during intercourse, in women
X05: Absent / poor menstruation
X06: Excessive menstruation
X07: Irregular / frequent menstruation
X08: Intermenstrual bleeding
X09: Premenstrual signs / symptoms
X10: Induced postponement of menstruation
X11: Menopausal signs / symptoms
X12: Postmenopausal bleeding
X13: Post coital bleeding
X13 / 08-05: Alteration of menstruation / other bleedings not included in X05 to X08 or X13
X14: Excessive vaginal discharge
X15: Other vaginal signs / symptoms
X16: Other vulvar signs / symptoms
X17: Other signs / symptoms of the female pelvis
X22: Concern about the appearance of the breasts, in women
X26: Fear of breast cancer, in women
Y01: Pain in the penis
Y02: Pain in the scrotum / testicles
Y04: Other signs / symptoms of the penis
Y05: Other signs / symptoms of scrotum / testicles
Y06: Prostatic signs / symptoms
Y07: Unspecified organic impotence
Y08: Other signs / symptoms of male sexual function
YX18: Mammary pain (includes X18)
YX19: Breast mass / lump (includes X19)
YX21: Other mammary signs / symptoms (X21 + Y16 *)
YX99: Other diseases of the genital tract / breast (X99 + Y99)
YX03: Urethral discharge (includes YO3)

YX20: Signs / symptoms of nipples (includes X20)
YX27: Fear of other genital / breast diseases (X27 + Y27)
YX29: Other signs / symptoms of the genital tract / breast (X29 + Y29)
YX28: Inability / disability of the genital tract (X28 + Y28)

## Hospital Care (HC)

## Specialised Outpatient Care (SOC)

2.1. Source and classification codes

Source: Minimum Basic Data Set - Specialised Outpatient Care, Spanish Ministry of Health and Consumer Affairs (CMBD AAE, MSCBS) 2015. Code of International Classification 9 Clinical Modification (CIE9MC)

### 2.2. Calculation of differential diseases

From the total number of registered care cases:

1. Those related to the reproductive system (M and W), including breasts, are eliminated.
2. The percentage of prevalence of each diagnosis for each gender is calculated on the total number of cases (contacts) registered for each gender.
3. The ratio between the prevalence of both is calculated from both the male ( $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{W}$ ) and the female (W / M) perspective.
4. The ratios indicating a prevalence 2 times higher than the prevalence of the opposite gender are identified.
5. Diagnoses with a prevalence $<1 \%$ over the total of cases for each gender are eliminated.
2.3. List of diseases related to the reproductive system and breasts removed from the analysis 24: Breast cancer
25: Cancer of the uterus
26: Cervical cancer
27: Ovarian cancer
28: Cancer of other female genital organs
29: Prostate cancer
30: Testicular cancer
31: Cancer of other male genital organs
46: Benign neoplasm of the uterus
164: Hyperplasia of the prostate
165: Inflammatory conditions of the male genital organs
166: Other male genital disorders
167: Non-malignant conditions of the breast
168: Inflammatory diseases of the female pelvic organs
169: Endometriosis
170: Prolapse of the female genital organs
171: Menstrual disorders
172: Ovarian cyst
173: Menopausal disorders
174: Female infertility
175: Other female genital disorders
177: Miscarriage
178: Induced abortion
179: Post abortion complications
180: Ectopic pregnancy
181: Other complications of pregnancy

182: Haemorrhage during pregnancy, placenta abruptio and placenta praevia
183: Hypertension complicating pregnancy, delivery and puerperium
184: Preterm delivery or threat of preterm delivery
185: Post term pregnancy
186: Diabetes or abnormal glucose tolerance that complicates pregnancy, delivery or puerperium
187: Defective position and anomalous presentation
188: Foetopelvic disproportion, obstruction
189: Previous caesarean section
190: Foetal distress and abnormal contractions in delivery
191: Polyhydramnios and other problems of the amniotic cavity
192: Complication of the umbilical cord
193: Trauma of the perineum and vulva
194: Delivery with forceps
195: Other complications of the delivery and puerperium that affect the treatment of the mother
196: Normal pregnancy and delivery
163: Genitourinary symptoms and ill-defined conditions
215: Genitourinary congenital anomalies

## Hospitalisation (H)

3.1. Source and classification codes

Source: Minimum Basic Data Set Hospitalisation, Spanish Ministry of Health and Consumer Affairs (CMBD H, MSCBS) 2015
Code of International Classification 9 Clinical Modification (CIE9MC)
3.2. Calculation of differential diseases

From the total amount of hospital admissions by diagnosed cause:

1. Those related to the reproductive system (M and $W$ ), including breasts, are eliminated.
2. The percentage of prevalence of each cause is calculated over the total for each gender.
3. The ratio between the prevalence of both is calculated from both the male ( $M / W$ ) and the female ( $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{M}$ ) perspective.
4. The ratios indicating a prevalence 2 times higher than the prevalence of the opposite gender are identified.
5. Diagnoses with a prevalence $<1 \%$ over the total for each gender are eliminated.
3.3. List of diseases related to the reproductive system and breasts removed from the analysis 24: Breast cancer
25: Cancer of the uterus
26: Cervical cancer
27: Ovarian cancer
28: Cancer of other female genital organs
29: Prostate cancer
30: Testicular cancer
31: Cancer of other male genital organs
46: Benign neoplasm of the uterus
164: Hyperplasia of the prostate
165: Inflammatory conditions of the male
genital organs
166: Other male genital disorders
167: Non-malignant conditions of the breast
168: Inflammatory diseases of the female pelvic organs
169: Endometriosis
170: Prolapse of the female genital organs
171: Menstrual disorders
172: Ovarian cyst
173: Menopausal disorders
174: Female infertility
175: Other female genital disorders
177: Miscarriage
178: Induced abortion
179: Post abortion complications
180: Ectopic pregnancy
181: Other complications of pregnancy
182: Haemorrhage during pregnancy, placenta
abruptio and placenta praevia
183: Hypertension complicating pregnancy, delivery and puerperium
184: Preterm delivery or threat of premature delivery
185: Post term pregnancy
186: Diabetes or abnormal glucose tolerance that complicates pregnancy, delivery or puerperium
187: Defective position and anomalous presentation
188: Fetofetal disproportion, obstruction
189: Previous caesarean section
190: Foetal distress and abnormal contractions in delivery
191: Polyhydramnios and other problems of the amniotic cavity
192: Complication of the umbilical cord
193: Trauma of the perineum and vulva
194: Delivery with forceps
195: Other complications of the delivery and puerperium that affect the treatment of the mother
196: Normal pregnancy and delivery
163: Genitourinary symptoms and ill-defined conditions
215: Genitourinary congenital anomalies

## Mortality

### 4.1. Source and classification codes

Source: Death statistics according to the cause of death (Spanish National Institute of Statistics -INE-) 2016. Codes of International Classification 10 (ICD10).

### 4.2. Calculation of differential diseases

From the total amount of deaths by causes:

1. Those related to the reproductive system (M and W ), including breasts, are eliminated.
2. The percentage of prevalence of each cause is calculated over the total for each gender.
3. The ratio between the prevalence of both is calculated from both the male ( $M / W$ ) and the female (W / M) perspective.
4. The ratios indicating a prevalence 2 times higher than the prevalence of the opposite gender are identified.
5. Diagnoses with a prevalence $<1 \%$ over the
total for each gender are eliminated.
4.3. List of diseases related to the reproductive system and breasts removed from the analysis 009-041: II. Tumours

023: Malignant tumour of the breast
024: Malignant tumour of the cervix
025: Malignant tumour of other parts of the uterus
026: Malignant tumour of the ovary
027: Malignant tumours of other female genital organs
028: Malignant tumour of the prostate
029: Malignant tumours of other male genital organs
077-080: XIV. Diseases of the genitourinary system
078: Diseases of the male genital organs
079: Diseases of the female genital organs and breast disorders
081: XV. Pregnancy, delivery and puerperium.

The reduction of the fertility rate has effects on the demographic and economic health of the country

## Population growth

$$
Y_{t+1}=Y_{t}+\text { Births }_{t}-\text { Deaths }_{t}-\text { Emigrations }_{t}
$$

Cruz and Ahmed (2016) estimate for 160 countries between 1950 and 2010, the effect of demographic changes on economic growth, savings and poverty. To measure the demographic changes, they use the proportion of working-age population of over the total population. Thus, their estimate is based on the following equation:

1. $\frac{Y}{N}=\frac{Y}{L} \frac{W A P}{N} \frac{L}{W A P}$

Where $Y$ is the total GDP, $N$ is the total population ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{B}$ is the GDP per capita), L is the number of workers (Y/L is productivity), WAP is the working-age population (WAP/N the proportion of working-age population over the total population) and (L/WAP is the occupation rate).

By taking logarithms of the variables in (1) and representing the equation in terms of growth:
2. $g_{y}=g_{z}+g_{w}+g_{1}$

Where $g_{y}$ is the growth of GDP per capita, $g_{z}$ is the productivity per worker, $g_{w}$ is the the growth of the proportion of working-age population and $g_{1}$ is the growth of the active population.

Considering that the growth of productivity per worker is a function of $X$ variables and that the growth of the active population is constant, it results in the following equation:
3. $g_{y}=a+b f(x)+g_{w}+\&$

This equation (3) suggests that, keeping everything else constant, an increase in the proportion of the working-age population increases the GDP growth per capita.

For this to be the case, certain requirements must be met.

There are several factors that are not included in the analysis that influence this equation (omitted variables). Thus, the fertility ratio affects the growth of the working-age population $\left(g_{w}\right)$, which also changes the size of the population ( N ). A higher life expectancy and migratory movements also affect the size of the population ( N ). The omission of variables that affect the equation (2) that also affect GDP growth per capita can lead to problems of endogeneity.

To solve the problems of endogeneity, the authors use different econometric approaches for finding solid results. Thus, the increase of 1 percentage point of the ratio of working-age population over the total population generates an increase of 1.5 percentage points of GDP per capita.

The role of the non-professional caregiver from a gender perspective

In order to analyse whether the prevalence of depression and anxiety is statistically and significantly different between men and women, and among caregivers, a probabilistic model is estimated with microdata from INE's National Health Survey, controlled by different socioeconomic factors. In addition, the factor of elevation of survey has been applied to the estimates so that the results are representative for the whole population.

The probabilistic models (probit) allows us to measure the probability for an individual to be part of the subject of study, taking into account certain explanatory variables of the individuals.

The probit model, of binary classification and estimated by Maximum Likelihood, has as a dichotomous dependent variable the probability that the individual answers "depression" or "chronic anxiety" to the following questions of the Spanish National Health Survey:
25. I'm going to read a list with certain diseases or health problems. Do you suffer or have you ever suffered from any of them?

The independent binary variables are a series of socioeconomic characteristics (gender, economic activity, age group, marital status and educational level) and the care variable, which is determined by the affirmative response to the next question from the Spanish National Survey of Health:

Do you care, at least once a week, for an elderly or chronically-ill person? Do not consider it if it is part of your job.

Regarding the interpretation of the estimated parameters, the sign indicates in which direction
the probability of suffering depression / anxiety goes when the independent variable increases. Unlike the linear model, the amount of the parameter does not have a direct interpretation as a variation of the probability. Due to the nonlinearity of the model, the marginal effects are calculated in points of interest. Marginal effects reflect the change in the probability of suffering depression / anxiety when a variable that belongs to the vector of independent variables changes, keeping the other factors fixed.

For more information on probabilistic models, see Wooldridge, J.M. (2002). Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data. Cambridge: MIT Press.

Depression probabilistic model
Probit regression: Log pseudolikelihood $=-10835694 \quad \mid \quad$ Number of obs $=23055 \quad \mid \quad$ Wald chi2 (18) $=1188,09 \quad \mid \quad$ Prob $>$ chi2 $=0 \quad \mid \quad$ Pseudo R2 $=0,1317$

| depression | Coef. | Robuts Std. Err. | z | $\mathbf{P}>\|\mathbf{z}\|$ | [95\% | Conf. Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gender | 0.409007 | 0.033195 | 12.32 | 0.000 | 0.3439455 | 0.474068 |
| care | 0.222804 | 0.042270 | 5.27 | 0.000 | 0.1399567 | 0.305650 |
| studying | 0.201920 | 0.139389 | 1.45 | 0.147 | -0.0712765 | 0.475117 |
| unemployment | 0.367296 | 0.048048 | 7.64 | 0.000 | 0.2731230 | 0.461469 |
| retired | 0.317293 | 0.058666 | 5.41 | 0.000 | 0.2023103 | 0.432275 |
| disable | 1.239462 | 0.071497 | 17.34 | 0.000 | 1.0993320 | 1.379593 |
| household | 0.334278 | 0.055993 | 5.97 | 0.000 | 0.2245329 | 0.444023 |
| other_active | -0.089587 | 0.442423 | -0.20 | 0.840 | -0.9567208 | 0.777547 |
| adult_young | 0.688739 | 0.129814 | 5.31 | 0.000 | 0.4343078 | 0.943170 |
| adult_old | 0.991666 | 0.131317 | 7.55 | 0.000 | 0.7342905 | 1.249042 |
| elderly | 1.053736 | 0.140489 | 7.50 | 0.000 | 0.7783826 | 1.329090 |
| married | -0,. 99257 | 0.041530 | -4.80 | 0.000 | -0.2806546 | -0.117859 |
| widow | 0.089960 | 0.057123 | 1.57 | 0.115 | -0.0219990 | 0.201919 |
| separated | 0.090897 | 0.090942 | 1.00 | 0.318 | -0.0873456 | 0.269139 |
| divorced | 0.231935 | 0.066579 | 3.48 | 0.000 | 0.1014421 | 0.362427 |
| other_civil | -0.808228 | 0.508253 | -1.59 | 0.112 | -1.8043860 | 0.187931 |
| education_superior_ no_uni | -0.127004 | 0.037289 | -3.41 | 0.001 | -0.2000899 | -0.053918 |
| education_university | -0.416762 | 0.047663 | -8.74 | 0.000 | -0.5101790 | -0.323345 |
| _cons | -2.471584 | 0.130695 | -18.91 | 0.000 | -2.7277420 | -2.215425 |

Marginal effect of depression probabilistic model
Marginal effects after probit: $y=\operatorname{Pr}($ depresion $)($ predict $)=0,07025331$

| variable | dy/dx | Std. Err. | z | $\mathbf{P}>\|\mathbf{z}\|$ | [95\% | C.I.] | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gender* | 0.055087 | 0.00432 | 12.75 | 0.000 | 0.046617 | 0.063557 | 0.512995 |
| care* | 0.034012 | 0.00728 | 4.67 | 0.000 | 0.019737 | 0.048287 | 0.112102 |
| education* | 0.030633 | 0.02371 | 1.29 | 0.196 | -0.015832 | 0.077098 | 0.092978 |
| unemploym* | 0.060442 | 0.00940 | 6.43 | 0.000 | 0.042016 | 0.078867 | 0.119308 |
| retired* | 0.048970 | 0.01021 | 4.80 | 0.000 | 0.028964 | 0.068975 | 0.206907 |
| disable* | 0.328790 | 0.02690 | 12.22 | 0.000 | 0.276066 | 0.381513 | 0.026174 |
| household* | 0.054851 | 0.01095 | 5.01 | 0.000 | 0.033397 | 0.076305 | 0.086515 |
| other_~0* | -0.011287 | 0,05202 | -0.22 | 0.828 | -0.113236 | 0.090662 | 0.001259 |
| adult $\sim$ * | 0.110950 | 0.02421 | 4.58 | 0.000 | 0.063509 | 0.158391 | 0.332576 |
| adult ${ }^{\text {r * }}$ | 0.172492 | 0.02767 | 6.23 | 0.000 | 0.118263 | 0.226720 | 0.333800 |
| elderly* | 0.213344 | 0.03738 | 5.71 | 0.000 | 0.140081 | 0.286607 | 0.219295 |
| married* | -0.027579 | 0.00590 | -4.68 | 0.000 | -0.039135 | -0.016022 | 0.588145 |
| widow* | 0.012819 | 0.00863 | 1.49 | 0.137 | -0.004093 | 0.029732 | 0.069239 |
| separated* | 0.013051 | 0.01389 | 0.94 | 0.347 | -0.014169 | 0.040270 | 0.015877 |
| divorc~0* | 0.036456 | 0.01204 | 3.03 | 0.002 | 0.012851 | 0.060062 | 0.035871 |
| other_~1* | -0.059112 | 0.01516 | -3.90 | 0.000 | -0.088824 | -0.029401 | 0.001171 |
| education~i* | -0.016448 | 0.00462 | -3.56 | 0.000 | -0.025508 | -0.007388 | 0.289718 |
| e~universit* | -0.046491 | 0.00434 | -10.71 | 0.000 | -0.055001 | -0.037980 | 0.189139 |

[^13]Depression probabilistic model
Probit regression: Log pseudolikelihood $=-10623240 \quad \mid \quad$ Number of obs $=23054 \quad \mid \quad$ Wald chi2 (18) $=684,39 \quad \mid \quad$ Prob $>$ chi2 $=0 \quad \mid \quad$ Pseudo $R 2=0,0831$

| ansiedad | Coef. | Robuts Std. Err. | z | $\mathbf{P}>\|\mathbf{z}\|$ | [95\% | Conf. Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gender | 0.424680 | 0.033020 | 12.86 | 0.000 | 0.3599611 | 0.489398 |
| care | 0.181303 | 0.041930 | 4.32 | 0.000 | 0.0991221 | 0.263483 |
| studying | 0.080787 | 0.123758 | 0.65 | 0.514 | -0.1617747 | 0.323349 |
| unemployment | 0.301494 | 0.046683 | 6.46 | 0.000 | 0.2099958 | 0.392992 |
| retired | 0.237286 | 0.059521 | 3.99 | 0.000 | 0.1206278 | 0.353945 |
| disable | 1.014484 | 0.073187 | 13.86 | 0.000 | 0.8710414 | 1.157928 |
| household | 0.156766 | 0.055775 | 2.81 | 0.005 | 0.0474491 | 0.266082 |
| other_active | 0.596387 | 0.406700 | 1.47 | 0.143 | -0.2007294 | 1.393504 |
| adult_young | 0.608076 | 0.113028 | 5.38 | 0.000 | 0.3865452 | 0.829607 |
| adult_old | 0.787123 | 0.114817 | 6.86 | 0.000 | 0.5620855 | 1.012161 |
| elderly | 0.637131 | 0.124161 | 5.13 | 0.000 | 0.3937793 | 0.880483 |
| married | -0.164731 | 0.040576 | -4.06 | 0.000 | -0.2442597 | -0.085203 |
| widow | -0.004261 | 0.059741 | -0.07 | 0.943 | -0.1213498 | 0.112829 |
| separated | -0.049036 | 0.094061 | -0.52 | 0.602 | -0.2333927 | 0.135320 |
| divorced | 0.181391 | 0.067619 | 2.68 | 0.007 | 0.0488595 | 0.313923 |
| other_civil | -0.464154 | 0.387128 | -1.20 | 0.231 | -1.2229110 | 0.294603 |
| education_superior_ no_uni | -0.132466 | 0.036636 | -3.62 | 0.000 | -0.2042710 | -0.060660 |
| education_university | -0.301635 | 0.045591 | -6.62 | 0.000 | -0.3909915 | -0.212278 |
| _cons | -2.253690 | 0.112822 | -19.98 | 0.000 | -2.4748170 | -2.032562 |

Marginal effect of depression probabilistic model
Marginal effects after probit: $y=\operatorname{Pr}($ depresion $)($ predict $)=0,07151562$

| variable | dy/dx | Std. Err. | z | $\mathbf{P}>\mid \mathbf{z}$ | [95\% | C.I.] | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gender* | 0.057992 | 0.00438 | 13.24 | 0.000 | 0.049407 | 0.066578 | 0.513101 |
| care* | 0.027398 | 0.00698 | 3.93 | 0.000 | 0.013719 | 0.041077 | 0.112274 |
| education* | 0.011568 | 0.01859 | 0.62 | 0.534 | -0.024870 | 0.048007 | 0.092985 |
| unemploym* | 0.048518 | 0.00870 | 5.57 | 0.000 | 0.031459 | 0.065578 | 0.119200 |
| retired* | 0.035852 | 0.00987 | 3.63 | 0.000 | 0.016510 | 0.055194 | 0.207085 |
| disable* | 0.248839 | 0.02557 | 9.73 | 0.000 | 0.198714 | 0.298964 | 0.026176 |
| household* | 0.023502 | 0.00913 | 2.57 | 0.010 | 0.005598 | 0.041406 | 0.086658 |
| other_~0* | 0.121022 | 0.11116 | 1.09 | 0.276 | -0.096858 | 0.338901 | 0.001260 |
| adult~n* | 0.097084 | 0.02062 | 4.71 | 0.000 | 0.056665 | 0.137503 | 0.332553 |
| adult ${ }^{\text {r* }}$ | 0.131506 | 0.02261 | 5.82 | 0.000 | 0.087184 | 0.175827 | 0.333760 |
| elderly* | 0.112392 | 0.02686 | 4.18 | 0.000 | 0.059739 | 0.165045 | 0.219423 |
| married* | -0.022995 | 0.00578 | -3.98 | 0.000 | -0.034320 | -0.011669 | 0.588146 |
| widow* | -0.000580 | 0.00811 | -0.07 | 0.943 | -0.016475 | 0.015316 | 0.069272 |
| separated* | -0.006464 | 0.01197 | -0.54 | 0.589 | -0.029917 | 0.016990 | 0.015956 |
| divorc~0* | 0.027944 | 0.01165 | 2.40 | 0.016 | 0.005109 | 0.050778 | 0.035862 |
| other_~1* | -0.044675 | 0.02412 | -1.85 | 0.064 | -0.091942 | 0.002591 | 0.001171 |
| education~i* | -0.017367 | 0.00459 | -3.78 | 0.000 | -0.026363 | -0.008370 | 0.289567 |
| e~unversity* | -0.035922 | 0.00468 | -7.67 | 0.000 | -0.045104 | -0.026741 | 0.189019 |

(*) $d y / d x$ is for discrete change of dummy variable from 0 to 1

Employment and its consequences in health The differential calculation of occupational diseases between men and women follows the same methodology applied to differential prevalent pathologies of primary care and hospital care.
The sources used in this section are the database of non-traumatic pathologies caused by work (PANOTRASS) and occupational diseases (CEPROSS) of the Spanish Ministry of Labour, Migration and Social Security.

Unconscious gender biases in health
The calculation of differential procedures for the same diagnosis between men and women
follows a methodology similar to that applied to differential prevalent pathologies of primary care and hospital care.

The main diagnostic data is crossed with the registered procedures, by gender and age group, of the Minimum Basic Data Set Hospitalisation (CMBD-H). In order to isolate as much as possible the biological effects on the diagnoses and their corresponding procedures, those related to neoplasms and to the circulatory and nervous systems are selected for men and women aged 65 and over, taking into account the number of diagnoses per system and gender in that age group.

The number of procedures performed is calculated according to the number of diagnoses for each gender, selecting the most frequent (representing at least $1 \%$ of the total number of procedures) and analysing whether there are gender differences of at least 4.5 points.

Women live four years longer, but with worse health
Economic value of care compared to several reports.

|  | ClosinGap (2018) | Afi (2009) | Braña (2004) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEGREE III | 19,530 | 24,497 | 18,646 |
| DEGREE II | 9,765 | 14,557 | $\mathbf{1 1 , 2 4 5}$ |
| DEGREE I | 4,883 | 9,587 | 5,504 |
| NO DEGREE | 4,883 | - | - |

## Working definitions

The gender approach ${ }^{58}$ is the "concept that refers to social differences - as opposed to biological differences - between men and women that have been learned, change over time and present great variations both between different cultures and within the same culture. They respond to social constructions, subject to change by social consensus".

Gender is therefore the social construction of differences based on sex, and includes the functions, behaviours and attributes that societies consider appropriate for men and women.

Health ${ }^{59}$ is the "complete state of physical, psychological, social and spiritual welfare, not just the absence of illness or malaise".

Health is a multidimensional element and is affected by several factors. Its knowledge can be approximated by indicators identified in the literature and in the available statistical information. There are many dimensions that partially "inform" about the degree of welfare included in the definition ${ }^{60}$, so it is necessary to delimit those that best explain the existence, incidence and effects of gender inequality in terms of health.

The gender gap in health is the subject analysed in this report, and it is defined as the set of inequalities by gender - and that, therefore, can be avoided- in the state of physical, psychological, social and spiritual welfare.

In this regard, despite the fact that women have a higher life expectancy at birth (and at 65
years of age) than men, they have a worse selfperception of their health. For this reason, it has been proposed as the main indicator of the health gap ("the problem") that of "women perceiving that they have worse health (own perception of the state of health)", contrasted with a set of complementary indicators selected by their ability to objectify the proposed main indicator, apparently subjective in nature ${ }^{61}$..

Opportunity cost is the economic value of the alternative which one rejects when deciding on a certain action or expense. The economic value quoted equals the benefits that would have been obtained from having chosen the best possible alternative. There is always an opportunity cost because available resources are limited (whether it is money or time) and this is precisely what forces us to choose among the possible options.



58 Preamble to the Constitution of the World Health Organisation, which was adopted by the International Sanitary Conference, held in New York from June 19 to July 22, 1946, signed on July 22, 1946 by the representatives of 61 States. (Official Records of the World Health Organization, No. 2, p.100), and that entered into force on April 7,1948 . The definition has not been modified since 1948.
60 Morbidity, mortality, vulnerability, perception of health, behaviours 60 Morbidity, mortality, vulnerability, perception of health, behaviours in relation to health problems (preventive, curative, promoter),
response of the health sector (availability of health services for response of the health sector (availability of health services for
a specific problem, distance to the health service, accessibility, quality of care), results in health and immediate consequences (mortality, recovery, disability), social and economic consequences (stigma, loss of employment, etc.), fundamentally.
61 See bibliography and data sources.

## Information sources

- Spanish Primary Care Clinical Database BDCAP (MSCBS, 2015)
- World Bank Database
- CEPROSS (Spanish Social Security communication of work-related illnesses).
- Certification of the System for Autonomy and Care for Dependency of Spain (SAAD, 2016).
- Work-life balance (Spanish National Institute of Statistic-INE-, 2008)
- Minimum Basic Data Set - Specialised Ambulatory Care in Spain (CMBD-AAE) (MSCBS, 2015)
- Minimum Basic Data Set - Hospitalisation in Spain (CMBD-H) (MSCBS, 2015)
- Quarterly National Accounts of Spain. Base 2010 (INE)
- Employment of people with disabilities (INE, 2016)
- Annual labour cost survey (INE, 2016)
- Time Use Survey (INE, 2009-2010)
- Active Population Survey (EPA, INE, 2017)
- Spanish National Health Survey (ENS, INE, 2017)
- Death statistics according to the cause of death (INE, 2016)
- Statistics of registered health professionals (INE, 2017)
- Statistics of the Spanish National Institute of Social Security
- Statistics of the Spanish Ministry of Labour, Migration and Social Security
- Global Gender Gap Index Report (2017), World Economic Forum (WEF)
- Key indicators of the Spanish National Health System (INCLASNS, MSCBS)
- Basic demographic indicators in Spain (INE)
- Spanish Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME)
- Spanish Institute for Women (Women in figures- Health)
- European Institute for Gender Equality
- Spanish Ministry of Labour, Migration and Social Security (2017)
- World Health Organisation, definition of health (1984)
- PANOTRASS (Non-traumatic pathologies caused by work accidents)
- Population projections of the INE (2018)
- Spanish General Treasury of the Social Security (2018)
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## Women for a healthy economy

What is ClosinGap? Women for a healthy economy

On Merck's initiative, eight large companies have joined together with the objective of creating a cluster that analyses, in a constructive and rigorous way, what the opportunity cost for the economy is derived from the waste of women's talent due to the persistence of gender gaps.

## Who's joined this cluster

The companies that have joined this cluster are Merck, MAPFRE, Repsol, Vodafone, Meliá Hotels International, Mahou San Miguel and Solán de Cabras, BMW Group and L'Oréal.

ClosinGap Board: Marieta Jiménez (Merck), Begoña Elices (Repsol), Antonio Huertas (MAPFRE), Francisco Román (Vodafone), Guenter Seemann (BMW Group), Gabriel Escarrer (Meliá Hotels International), Eduardo Petrossi (Mahou San Miguel and Solán de Cabras) and Juan Alonso de Lomas (L'Oréal).

ClosinGap Executive Committee: Ana Polanco (Merck), María Pilar Rojas (Repsol), Eva Piera (MAPFRE), Rebeca Navarro (Vodafone), Natalia González-Valdés (L’Oréal), Pilar García de la Puebla (BMW Group), Lourdes Ripoll (Meliá Hotels International) and Patricia Leiva (Mahou San Miguel and Solán de Cabras)

What work are we developing
On a bi-monthly basis, the cluster will publish detailed reports on the impact of the persistence of the different gender gaps on GDP in areas such as health, pensions, work-life balance, information technologies, tourism, leisure or mobility, in addition to developing other common actions.

## What are our objectives

To generate knowledge and data on gender gaps, to share good practices that are already being undertaken, to create new initiatives that help to close gender gaps and to contribute to maintain the debate on equality in the public agenda.

## More about us

You can find more information about us by visiting www.closingap.com or our Twitter (@ClosinGap) and Linkedln profiles.
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This report on the opportunity cost of the gender gap has been prepared in collaboration with Afi under the supervision of Dr. Julio Zarco, director of the Personalisation Area of Health Care and Corporate Social Responsibility of the San Carlos Clinical Hospital (Madrid), and Rafael Myro Sánchez, Professor of Applied Economics at the Complutense University of Madrid, to whom ClosinGap wishes to express its gratitude and appreciation for the time spent and the contributions made to enrich the research.
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    34 Estimado a partir de Encuesta anual de coste laboral (INE, 2016). Promedio de los códigos CNAE 87 Asistencia en establecimientos residenciales y 88 Actividades de servicios sociales sin alojamiento. El coste de los cuidados está conformado en un $73 \%$ por coste salarial y $27 \%$ coste laboral. Número de días trabajados al año= 217 .

[^7]:    41 The analysis of the differential incidence of $O D$ by sector of activity was carried out by controlling for the number of employees, for each gender, in each sector.

[^8]:    42 It is understood that the admission is initial when a new benefit dossier is opened (admissions due to rehabilitation, transfer or revision are not included). The definitive withdrawals compute all the cases of foreseeably definitive cessation of the benefit, excluding therefore the suspensions and withdrawals due to transfer or revision. They are considered as definitive withdrawals: death of the holder, loss of the right due to age or end of term (partial retirement or ordinary retirement) and other causes of withdrawal (sanction, option to another benefit).

[^9]:    43 Death statistics according to the cause of death of the (INE)
    45 Source: CMBD-H main diagnoses (MSCBS, 2015).
    46260,804 and 232,598 cases in men, respectively, compared to 77,676 and 109,875 in women.
    47 1,059,429 cases in women versus 279,141 in men.
    4830,623 diagnoses in men compared to 10,238 in women in SAC; 430,134 diagnoses in men versus 157,248 in women in hospitalisations.
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    53 Diagnostic cardiac catheterisation and coronary arteriography, other procedures in vessels other than head and neck, diagnostic ultrasound of the heart (echocardiograms), other cardiovascular therapeutic procedures without surgery and Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty (PTCA).

[^11]:    54 Certification of the System for Autonomy and Care for Dependency (SAAD, 2016) for dependents: Degree III (Heavy Dependency): 24\% of the total number of reports (363,920); Degree II (Severe Dependency): 30\% (455,741); Degree I (moderate dependency): 26\% (394,212); No Degree: 20.1\% (305,092)..

[^12]:    55 Estimated from the Spanish Annual Labour Cost Survey (INE, 2016). Average of the CNAE codes 87 Assistance in residential establishments and 88 Social service activities without accommodation. The cost of care is formed by $73 \%$ of salary and $27 \%$ of labour cost. Number of days worked per year $=217$.
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[^13]:    (*) $d y / d x$ is for discrete change of dummy variable from 0 to 1

